arXiv:1403.7982v1 [math.RT] 31 Mar 2014

CODIMENSION ONE CONNECTEDNESS OF THE GRAPH OF ASSOCIATED VARIETIES

KYO NISHIYAMA, PETER TRAPA, AND AKIHITO WACHI

Abstract.
Let π be an irreducible Harish-Chandra $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-module, and denote its associated variety by $\mathcal{AV}(\pi)$. If $\mathcal{AV}(\pi)$ is reducible, then each irreducible component must contain codimension one boundary component. Thus we are interested in the codimension one adjacency of nilpotent orbits for a symmetric pair (G, K). We define the notion of orbit graph and associated graph for π, and study its structure for classical symmetric pairs; number of vertices, edges, connected components, etc. As a result, we prove that the orbit graph is connecetd for even nilpotent orbits.

Finally, for indefinite unitary group $U(p, q)$, we prove that for each connected component of the orbit graph $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$ thus defined, there is an irreducible Harish-Chandra module π whose associated graph is exactly equal to the connceted component.

Contents

1. Introduction 3
2. Preliminaries 5
3. The number of nilpotent orbits for a symmetric pair 7
3.1. Type AIII $(GL_{p+q}(\mathbb{C}), GL_p(\mathbb{C}) \times GL_q(\mathbb{C}))$ 7
3.2. Types BDI, CI, CII, DIII 8
4. Combinatorial description of orbit graphs for type AIII 11
4.1. Structure of orbit graph 11
4.2. Product of graph 18
4.3. Induction of subgraphs 21
4.4. Number of connected components 26
5. Orbit graphs for classical symmetric pairs 28
5.1. Structure of orbit graphs 28

Date: Ver. 1.1 [2014/03/03 17:56:32] (compiled on April 1, 2014).

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 22E45; Secondary 22E46, 05E10, 05C50.

Supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) #21340006.

Supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) #23540179.

5.2. Induction of subgraphs 32
5.3. Number of connected components 33
6. Associated varieties of Harish-Chandra modules 35
6.1. 36
6.2. 41
6.3. 42
References 43

1. Introduction

Let G be a connected reductive complex algebraic group, and (G, K) a symmetric pair, that is, K is the fixed point subgroup of a non-trivial involution $\theta \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$. The differential of the involution θ gives an automorphism of order two of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Lie}(G)$, which we will denote by the same letter. Let $\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{s}$ be the eigenspaces of θ with the eigenvalues $+1$ and -1, respectively. Then a direct sum $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{s}$ gives the (complexified) Cartan decomposition corresponding to the symmetric pair (G, K).

Let $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{s})$ be the set of nilpotent elements in $\mathfrak{s}$, which is a closed subvariety of $\mathfrak{s}$, and called the nilpotent variety of $\mathfrak{s}$. We call K-orbits in $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{s})$ *nilpotent orbits for a symmetric pair*.

It follows from Kostant-Rallis [KR71] that the number of the K-orbits in $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{s})$ is finite. Moreover, the classification of nilpotent K-orbits is completely known for simple G, and if G is classical, it is given combinatorially in terms of signed Young diagrams (see, e.g., [CM93]).

When two nilpotent K-orbits in $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{s})$ generate the same G-orbit $\mathcal{O}^G$ in $\mathfrak{g}$, we call these two K-orbits are *adjacent in codimension one* (or simply *adjacent*) if the intersection of their closures contains a K-orbit of codimension one. We consider a non-oriented graph $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}^G)$ with the vertices consisting of K-orbits on $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{s})$ contained in $\mathcal{O}^G$, and edges drawn if two K-orbits are adjacent. The graph is called an *orbit graph*. We study combinatorial structures of the graph $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}^G)$, which are related to representation-theoretic problem on the geometry of associated varieties of Harish-Chandra modules.

For example, the number of vertices of $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}^G)$ gives the number of nilpotent K-orbits which generates the same $\mathcal{O}^G$. This roughly classifies irreducible Harish-Chandra modules with a fixed infinitesimal character which have annihilators with the same associated variety. We give generating functions of the number of the nilpotent orbits for classical symmetric pairs in §3. There we also give generating functions of the number of vertices of $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}^G)$ for individual orbits.

From a viewpoint of representation theory, nilpotent K-orbits in $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{s})$ and their closures occur as irreducible components of the associated varieties of Harish-Chandra modules. For an irreducible Harish-Chandra module X, its associated variety $\mathcal{AV}(X)$ decomposes into irreducible components as

$$\mathcal{AV}(X) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \overline{\mathbb{O}_i^K}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\mathbb{O}_i^K$ are nilpotent K-orbits in $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{s})$, which generate a common nilpotent G-orbit $\mathcal{O}^G$. The closure of the G-orbit $\mathcal{O}^G$ is an associated variety of the primitive ideal of X. Thus we get a full subgraph of $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}^G)$ with vertices

$$\{\mathbb{O}_i^K \mid \overline{\mathbb{O}_i^K} \text{ is an irreducible component of } \mathcal{AV}(X)\}.$$

We denote this subgraph by $\mathcal{AV}^\Gamma(X)$, and call it an *associated graph* of X. Here we omit the subscript K, because the Harish-Chandra module X already encodes it.

Vogan's theorem ([Vog91, Theorem 4.6]) suggests that the following conjecture is plausible to hold.

Conjecture 1.1. *If X is an irreducible Harish-Chandra $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-module, the associated graph $\mathcal{AV}^\Gamma(X)$ is connected.*

In the case of a symmetric pair of type AIII, we will prove

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 6.1 below)**.** *Let $G_\mathbb{R} = U(p,q)$, an indefinite unitary group, and $(G,K) = (GL_n(\mathbb{C}), GL_p(\mathbb{C}) \times GL_q(\mathbb{C}))$ $(n = p+q)$ be an associated symmetric pair of type* AIII*. Let us consider a nilpotent G-orbit $\mathcal{O}^G$ in $\mathfrak{g}$. For any connected component in the orbit graph $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}^G)$, there exists an irreducible Harish-Chandra $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-module X whose associated graph $\mathcal{AV}^\Gamma(X)$ is exactly the chosen connected component.*

This theorem is a partial converse to the conjecture above. We also have the following

Theorem 1.3. *Let (G,K) be a classical symmetric pair corresponding to a real form $G_\mathbb{R}$ of G. If $\mathcal{O}^G$ is an even nilpotent orbit, then the orbit graph $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}^G)$ is connceted, and there exists an irreducible degenerate principal series representation π of $G_\mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathcal{AV}^\Gamma(\pi) = \Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}^G)$.*

In this sense, the combinatorial structure of orbit graphs seems important and interesting. In § 4, for a symmetric pair of type AIII, we study the structure of the orbit graph $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}^G)$, and obtain a combinatorial description of $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}^G)$ in Theorem 4.7. In particular, we can give an explicit formula which gives the number of connected components of the graph. For the precise statement, see Theorem 4.15 and the arguments before it.

The main tool of our arguments is an induction of graphs introduced in § 4.3. The induction carries a connected component of the orbit graph of a smaller nilpotent orbit to that of a larger (or induced) nilpotent orbit.

The combinatorial arguments in § 4 can be carried over to the other classical symmetric pairs. The results thus obtained are summarized in § 5; among them, we determine the connected components of orbit graphs and prove that there is only one connceted component for an even nilpotent orbit (a part of the claim of Theorem 1.3).

Theorem 1.2 above is proved in § 6 for type AIII. Essentially this theorem claims that the induction of orbit graphs described in purely combinatorial manner and the cohomological (or parabolical) induction of representations match up. It is natural to expect a similar result for other symmetric pairs and our combinatorial arguments in § 5 strongly suggest such statements. This is a future subject of ours.

2. Preliminaries

Let G be a connected reductive algebraic group over the complex number field $\mathbb{C}$. Let $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the connected component of the identity of a noncompact real form of G. We denote by $K_{\mathbb{R}}$ a maximal compact subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$, so that $(G_{\mathbb{R}}, K_{\mathbb{R}})$ is a symmetric pair with respect to a Cartan involution. Let $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the Lie algebras of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $K_{\mathbb{R}}$ respectively, and $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{R}} + \mathfrak{s}_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the associated Cartan decomposition. In general, we denote by $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ a real Lie group, and H its complexified algebraic group (if it exists). We also use corresponding German small letters to denote their Lie algebras; so $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the Lie algebra of $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ its complexification.

Pick a nilpotent G-orbit $\mathcal{O}^G$ in $\mathfrak{g}$, and let

$$\mathcal{O}^G \cap \mathfrak{s} = \coprod_{k=1}^{m} \mathbb{O}_k^K \tag{2.1}$$

be the decomposition of $\mathcal{O}^G$ into equidimensional Lagrangian K-orbits (see, e.g., [Vog91, Corollary 5.20]). We will denote a nilpotent G-orbit in $\mathfrak{g}$ by $\mathcal{O}^G$ (or $\mathcal{O}^G_\lambda$ when it is parameterized by a partition λ in the classical cases), and a nilpotent K-orbit in $\mathfrak{s}$ by $\mathbb{O}^K$ (or $\mathbb{O}^K_T$ when parameterized by a signed Young diagram T).

Two nilpotent K-orbits $\mathbb{O}^K_k$ and $\mathbb{O}^K_\ell$ are said to be *adjacent* if these two nilpotent K-orbits appear in the decomposition (2.1) of $\mathcal{O}^G$, and they share a boundary of codimension one. Also we say two nilpotent orbits $\mathbb{O}^K$ and $\mathbb{O}'^K$ are *connected in codimension one* if there exists a sequence of nilpotent K-orbits $\mathbb{O}^K = \mathbb{O}^K_{k_1}, \mathbb{O}^K_{k_2}, \dots, \mathbb{O}^K_{k_r} = \mathbb{O}'^K$ such that each successive pair $(\mathbb{O}^K_{k_i}, \mathbb{O}^K_{k_{i+1}})$ is an adjacent pair.

We define a graph $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}^G)$ with vertices $\{\mathbb{O}^K_1, \mathbb{O}^K_2, \dots, \mathbb{O}^K_m\}$ and edges given by the adjacency relation. The graph $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}^G)$ is called an *orbit graph*.

Now let X be an irreducible Harish-Chandra $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-module and let

$$\mathcal{AV}(X) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \overline{\mathbb{O}_i^K}$$

be the irreducible decomposition of its associated variety. The labeling of $\mathbb{O}_i^K$ by $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ is now different from those which are used in (2.1), but it is known that each $\mathbb{O}_i^K$ will generate the same nilpotent G-orbit $\mathcal{O}^G = \mathcal{O}_X^G$. In fact, $\overline{\mathcal{O}_X^G}$ is the associated variety of the primitive ideal of X. Therefore we can consider $\{\mathbb{O}_1^K, \dots, \mathbb{O}_\ell^K\}$ as a subset of vertices of $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_X^G)$, and we define the full subgraph $\mathcal{AV}^\Gamma(X)$ of $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_X^G)$, whose vertices are the irreducible components of $\mathcal{AV}(X)$, and whose edges are the ones in $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_X^G)$.

Vogan proved in [Vog91, Theorem 4.6] that the codimension in $\mathbb{O}_i^K$ of its boundary $\partial\mathbb{O}_i^K = \overline{\mathbb{O}_i^K} \setminus \mathbb{O}_i^K$ is equal to one if $\mathcal{AV}(X)$ is reducible (i.e. $\ell \geq 2$).

The boundary of codimension one of the closure of a nilpotent K-orbit $\mathbb{O}^K$ is generally reducible, and one of its irreducible components might be contained in the closure of another K-orbit $\mathbb{O}_0^K$, hence $\mathbb{O}^K$ and $\mathbb{O}_0^K$ are adjacent; or it might be only contained in $\mathbb{O}^K$ itself, so it does not contribute to the connectedness in codimension one. Both cases are possible and actually occur. However, it is plausible that the following conjecture holds.

Conjecture 2.1. *Let X be an irreducible Harish-Chandra $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-module, and $\mathcal{AV}(X) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \overline{\mathbb{O}_i^K}$ the irreducible decomposition of its associated variety. Then the graph $\mathcal{AV}^\Gamma(X)$ is connected. Namely, for any pair $(\mathbb{O}_i^K, \mathbb{O}_j^K)$, there exist a sequence of nilpotent K-orbits*

$$\mathbb{O}_i^K = \mathbb{O}_{i_0}^K,\ \mathbb{O}_{i_1}^K,\ \mathbb{O}_{i_2}^K,\ \dots,\ \mathbb{O}_{i_n}^K = \mathbb{O}_j^K$$

such that $\overline{\mathbb{O}_{i_{k-1}}^K} \cap \overline{\mathbb{O}_{i_k}^K}$ $(1 \leq k \leq n)$ contains a nilpotent K-orbit of codimension one.

Taking this conjecture into account, in this paper, we consider the following problems. First three are combinatorial problems, and remaining two are representation-theoretic ones.

Problem 2.2. Let us consider a symmetric pair (G, K) as above, and let $\mathcal{O}^G$ be a nilpotent G-orbit in $\mathfrak{g}$.

(1) Describe the explicit structure of the orbit graph $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}^G)$.
(2) Find the number of connected components of $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}^G)$.
(3) Find the number of K-orbits in $\mathcal{O}^G \cap \mathfrak{s}$.

(4) Assume that the graph $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}^G)$ is connected. Does there exist an irreducible Harish-Chandra $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-module X such that $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}^G) = \mathcal{AV}^\Gamma(X)$?
(5) More generally, for any connected component $Z \subset \Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}^G)$, does there exist an irreducible Harish-Chandra module X such that $Z = \mathcal{AV}^\Gamma(X)$? Here a connected component of a graph means a maximal connected full subgraph.

We will answer most of these problems in the classical cases.

If the intersection of G-orbits with $\mathfrak{s}$ is always a single K-orbit, most of our problems above become trivial. So we omit these cases. However, our problem does hold in such cases.

Thus, in the following, we only consider classical symmetric pairs of type AIII, BDI, CI, CII, DIII in the notation of [Hel78, Chapter X, Table V].

3. The number of nilpotent orbits for a symmetric pair

In this section, we solve Problem 2.2 (3) for the classical symmetric pairs. For classical symmetric pairs, a classification of K-orbits in $\mathfrak{s}$ and their closure relations are obtained by Takuya Ohta [Oht86] (see also [KP79], [BC77] and [Djo82]) and we use Ohta's result in the following case-by-case arguments.

3.1. **Type AIII** $(GL_{p+q}(\mathbb{C}), GL_p(\mathbb{C}) \times GL_q(\mathbb{C}))$**.** In the following, we denote $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ simply by GL_n and use similar abbreviation for other classical groups. Let us consider a symmetric pair

$$(G, K) = (GL_n, GL_p \times GL_q) \qquad (n = p + q),$$

where K is embedded into G block diagonally. Thus the corresponding Cartan decomposition is

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{s}, \qquad \mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{gl}_p \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_q, \quad \mathfrak{s} = \mathrm{Mat}(p, q; \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathrm{Mat}(q, p; \mathbb{C}),$$

where $\mathfrak{s}$ is anti-diagonally embedded into $\mathfrak{g}$.

Let us first recall that the nilpotent $G = GL_n$-orbits in $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_n$ are parameterized by the partitions of n, i.e., collections of the size of Jordan blocks arranged in non-increasing order. To each partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$ of n, we associate a nilpotent orbit denoted by $\mathcal{O}^G_\lambda$. When $\mathcal{O}^G_\lambda$ is given, a connected component of its intersection $\mathcal{O}^G_\lambda \cap \mathfrak{s}$ with $\mathfrak{s}$ is a nilpotent K-orbit in $\mathfrak{s}$, and every nilpotent K-orbit in $\mathfrak{s}$ appears in this way. It is known that these K-orbits are parameterized

by the *signed Young diagrams on λ of signature (p,q)*:

$$\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G \cap \mathfrak{s} = \coprod_{T \in \mathrm{SYD}(\lambda;p,q)} \mathbb{O}_T^K.$$

Here $\mathrm{SYD}(\lambda;p,q)$ denotes the set of signed Young diagrams T on λ of signature (p,q) which satisfy

(1) T has the same shape as λ.
(2) There are p boxes with $(+)$-sign and q boxes with $(-)$-sign in T.
(3) Signs are alternating in each row (in columns signs may run in any order).

From this description, we get the generating function of the number of the nilpotent K-orbits on $\mathfrak{s}$ as follows.

Theorem 3.1. *Denote a partition λ of n as $\lambda = [1^{m_1} \cdot 2^{m_2} \cdots n^{m_n}]$ by using the multiplicities m_i of i. Then we have*

$$\sum_{p,\,q\geq 0,\ \lambda \vdash (p+q)} \#\,\mathrm{SYD}(\lambda;p,q)\, a^p b^q t_\lambda = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-a^k b^k t_{2k})^2} \cdot \frac{1}{1-a^{k-1}b^k t_{2k-1}} \cdot \frac{1}{1-a^k b^{k-1} t_{2k-1}}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $t_\lambda = t_1^{m_1} t_2^{m_2} \cdots$. This formula is an equality in the ring of formal power series in a, b, t_1, t_2, ...

Proof. A signed Young diagram is a sum of rows of the following four types of diagrams:

(i) $\boxed{+}\boxed{-}\boxed{+}\boxed{-}\cdots\boxed{+}\boxed{-}$ (even length),
(ii) $\boxed{-}\boxed{+}\boxed{-}\boxed{+}\cdots\boxed{-}\boxed{+}$ (even length),
(iii) $\boxed{+}\boxed{-}\boxed{+}\cdots\boxed{-}\boxed{+}$ (odd length),
(iv) $\boxed{-}\boxed{+}\boxed{-}\cdots\boxed{+}\boxed{-}$ (odd length).

We call these diagrams (i)–(iv) *primitives* of signed Young diagrams of type AIII. Take the diagram (iv) for example. Let $2k-1$ be the length of the row, and the row of this form occurs d times in a signed Young diagram. Then the corresponding term in the generating function has $(a^k b^{k-1} t_{2k-1})^d$ as its factor. This corresponds to the formal power series expansion of the second factor in the product of the right-hand side of (3.1). Similarly the primitive (iii) corresponds to the third factor, and the primitives (i) and (ii) give the same factor, which appears as the first factor so that it is squared. □

3.2. **Types BDI, CI, CII, DIII.** We consider the symmetric pairs in Table 1 in this paper. For other classical symmetric pairs, namely

type	(G, K)	n
AIII	$(GL_{p+q}, GL_p \times GL_q)$	$p+q$
BDI	$(O_{p+q}, O_p \times O_q)$	$p+q$
CI	(Sp_{2p}, GL_p)	$2p$
CII	$(Sp_{p+q}, Sp_p \times Sp_q)$	$p+q$ $(p, q : \text{even})$
DIII	(O_{2p}, GL_p)	$2p$

TABLE 1. Table of symmetric pairs

TABLE 2. Primitives of signed Young diagrams

type	primitives $(\{a, b\} = \{+, -\})$	
AIII	$ab \cdots ab$ (even),	$ab \cdots ba$ (odd)
BDI	$ab \cdots ba$ (odd),	$ba \cdots ba$ $ab \cdots ab$ (even)
CI	$ab \cdots ab$ (even),	$ab \cdots ba$ $ba \cdots ab$ (odd)
CII	$ab \cdots ba$ $ab \cdots ba$ (odd),	$ba \cdots ba$ $ab \cdots ab$ (even)
DIII	$ba \cdots ba$ $ba \cdots ba$ (even),	$ab \cdots ba$ $ba \cdots ab$ (odd)

((even) or (odd) means the parity of the length.)

types AI and AII, the intersection $\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G \cap \mathfrak{s}$ is a single K-orbit. So our problem becomes trivial.

In this table, for a symplectic group, we denote it by Sp_N in which N represents the dimension of the base symplectic space (or size of the matrices), hence N must be always even. Also in the case of type CI and DIII, we sometimes put $q = p$ so that $n = p + q$ holds. Thus, in the following, n always denotes the size of matrices in G, and p or q denotes the size of the matrices of a simple factor of K (modulo its center).

Since the case of type AIII has been already treated, let us consider the other types, namely types BDI, CI, CII and DIII. For these symmetric pairs, nilpotent G-orbits on $\mathfrak{g}$ and nilpotent K-orbits on $\mathfrak{s}$ are parametrized by Young diagrams and signed Young diagrams with suitable conditions, respectively. In all these types, the conditions for signed Young diagrams can be described by using primitives, which consist rows of signed Young diagrams. Primitives for these types are given in Table 2 ([Oht91, Proposition 2]; see also [Tr05, Proposition 2.2]).

We denote by $\mathrm{SYD}_{\mathrm{X}}(\lambda; p, q)$ the set of the signed Young diagrams for type X (X = BDI, CI, CII, DIII) of shape λ with the convention that $q = p$ in the case of type CI or DIII. Similarly we denote by $\mathrm{YD}_{\mathrm{X}}(n)$ the set of the Young diagrams for type X. Suppose we remove the signs in a signed Young diagram T, and get a partition λ, i.e., $T \in \mathrm{SYD}_{\mathrm{X}}(\lambda; p, q)$. Then a nilpotent K-orbit $\mathbb{O}_T^K \subset \mathfrak{s}$ corresponding to T generates a nilpotent G-orbit $\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G \subset \mathfrak{g}$ corresponding to λ. We get $\mathrm{YD}_{\mathrm{X}}(n)$ in this way.

Theorem 3.2. *We have the generating functions of the numbers of the nilpotent K-orbits on $\mathfrak{s}$ for the symmetric pairs of types* BDI, CI, CII *and* DIII *as follows, where the notation is the same as in Theorem* 3.1.

(1) *Write a partition λ of $n = p + q$ of type* BDI *as $\lambda = [1^{m_1} \cdot 2^{m_2} \cdots n^{m_n}]$ using the multiplicities m_i of i. Then we have*

$$\sum_{p,\, q \geq 0,\ \lambda \in \mathrm{YD}_{\mathrm{BDI}}(p+q)} \#\,\mathrm{SYD}_{\mathrm{BDI}}(\lambda; p, q)\, a^p\, b^q\, t_\lambda = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - a^{2k} b^{2k} t_{2k}^2} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - a^{k-1} b^k t_{2k-1}} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - a^k b^{k-1} t_{2k-1}}.$$

(2) *Write a partition λ of $n = 2p$ of type* CI *as $\lambda = [1^{m_1} \cdot 2^{m_2} \cdots n^{m_n}]$. Then we have*

$$\sum_{p \geq 0,\ \lambda \in \mathrm{YD}_{\mathrm{CI}}(2p)} \#\,\mathrm{SYD}_{\mathrm{CI}}(\lambda; p, p)\, a^p\, b^p\, t_\lambda = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 - a^k b^k t_{2k})^2} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - a^{2k-1} b^{2k-1} t_{2k-1}^2}.$$

(3) *Write a partition λ of $p + q$ of type* CII *as $\lambda = [1^{m_1} \cdot 2^{m_2} \cdots]$. Then the generating function of the number of nilpotent K-orbits on $\mathfrak{s}$ is given as follows.*

$$\sum_{p,q \geq 0\ (p,q:\mathit{even}),\ \lambda \in \mathrm{YD}_{\mathrm{CII}}(p+q)} \#\,\mathrm{SYD}_{\mathrm{CII}}(\lambda; p, q)\, a^p\, b^q\, t_\lambda = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - a^{2k-2} b^{2k} t_{2k-1}^2} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - a^{2k} b^{2k-2} t_{2k-1}^2} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - a^{2k} b^{2k} t_{2k}^2}.$$

(4) *Write a partition λ of $n = 2p$ of type* DIII *as $\lambda = [1^{m_1} \cdot 2^{m_2} \cdots]$. Then the generating function of the number of nilpotent K-orbits on $\mathfrak{s}$*

is given as follows.

$$\sum_{p\geq 0,\ \lambda\in \mathrm{YD}_{\mathrm{DIII}}(2p)} \#\,\mathrm{SYD}_{\mathrm{DIII}}(\lambda;p,p)\,a^p\,b^p\,t_\lambda = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(1-a^{2k}b^{2k}t_{2k}^2)^2}\cdot\frac{1}{1-a^{2k-1}b^{2k-1}t_{2k-1}^2}.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.1. If a primitive contains k_+ $(+)$'s and k_- $(-)$'s, and consists of rows of lengths $l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_d$, then the generating function has a factor

$$\frac{1}{1-a^{k_+}b^{k_-}t_{l_1}t_{l_2}\cdots t_{l_d}}.$$

Thus the formulas immediately follows from Table 2. □

4. Combinatorial description of orbit graphs for type AIII

In this section, we consider a symmetric pair $(G,K)=(GL_n, GL_p\times GL_q)$ of type AIII.

4.1. **Structure of orbit graph.** To describe the whole structure of the orbit graph $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$, we prepare some notions.

The vertices of the graph $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$ is the set of nilpotent K-orbits:

$$V(\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)) = \{\mathbb{O}_T^K \mid T\in \mathrm{SYD}(\lambda;p,q)\}.$$

We realize these vertices as points in the Euclidean k-space $\mathbb{R}^k$. To describe it, we denote λ in slightly different manner from the notation before, namely

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda &= (i_1,\ldots,i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_2,\ldots,i_k,\ldots,i_k)\\ &= (i_1^{m(i_1)},i_2^{m(i_2)},\ldots,i_k^{m(i_k)}),\\ &\qquad i_1>i_2>\cdots>i_k>0,\quad m(i_j)>0\ \ (1\leq j\leq k),\end{aligned}\tag{4.1}$$

where $m(i)=m_\lambda(i)$ is the multiplicity of i among the parts of λ, which is a function in i and λ. If we pick T from $\mathrm{SYD}(\lambda;p,q)$, there are $m(i)$ rows of length i in T. Among those $m(i)$ rows, some of them will begin with the box $\boxed{+}$, and the others begin with the box $\boxed{-}$. We denote the number of rows which begin with $\boxed{+}$ by $m^+(i)=m_T^+(i)$. We also write $m^-(i)=m(i)-m^+(i)$, which is the number of rows of length i starting with box $\boxed{-}$.

Let us define a map $\pi: V(\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G))\simeq \mathrm{SYD}(\lambda;p,q)\to\mathbb{R}^k$ by

$$\pi(T)=(m^+(i_1),m^+(i_2),\ldots,m^+(i_k))\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^k\subset\mathbb{R}^k.\tag{4.2}$$

These $m^+(i_r)$'s must satisfy obvious inequalities

$$0 \le m^+(i_r) \le m(i_r) \qquad (1 \le r \le k),$$

and a *parity condition*

$$\begin{aligned} p - q &= \sum_{i_r \,:\, \text{odd}} (m^+(i_r) - m^-(i_r)) \\ &= 2 \sum_{i_r \,:\, \text{odd}} m^+(i_r) - \sum_{i_r \,:\, \text{odd}} m(i_r). \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Note that the difference $m^+(i_r) - m^-(i_r)$ only contributes to the difference $p - q$ when the row length i_r is odd (if it is even, there are the same number of $+$'s and $-$'s in that row), hence the above parity condition.

Conversely, if $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k_{\ge 0}$ satisfies

$$0 \le a_r \le m(i_r) \qquad (1 \le r \le k),$$

and the parity condition

$$p - q = 2 \sum_{i_r \,:\, \text{odd}} a_r - \sum_{i_r \,:\, \text{odd}} m(i_r),$$

then $(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ is in the image of the map π, i.e., $\pi(T) = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$ for some $T \in \mathrm{SYD}(\lambda; p, q)$.

Thus we are left to determine the edges of the orbit graph. We first recall Ohta's result on cover relations (i.e., closure relation $\mathbb{O}_S^K \subset \overline{\mathbb{O}_T^K}$ with no orbits in-between) of nilpotent K-orbits on $\mathfrak{s}$ [Oht91, Lemma 5].

Lemma 4.1. *Let μ and λ be partitions of $n = p+q$. For signed Young diagrams $S \in \mathrm{SYD}(\mu; p, q)$ and $T \in \mathrm{SYD}(\lambda; p, q)$, the corresponding nilpotent K-orbits $\mathbb{O}_S^K$ and $\mathbb{O}_T^K$ on $\mathfrak{s}$ satisfy*

$$\mathbb{O}_S^K \subset \overline{\mathbb{O}_T^K}, \quad \text{and there is no } K\text{-orbit in-between},$$

if and only if one of the following three conditions holds:

(i) $\overline{S} = \begin{array}{l} \overbrace{\cdots\cdots ab}^{u} \\ \underbrace{\cdots ba}_{v} \end{array}$, $\overline{T} = \begin{array}{l} \overbrace{\cdots\cdots ba}^{u+1} \\ \underbrace{\cdots ab}_{v-1} \end{array}$ $\qquad (u \ge v \ge 1)$

(ii) $\overline{S} = \begin{array}{l} \overbrace{ba\cdots\cdots}^{u} \\ \underbrace{ab\cdots}_{v} \end{array}$, $\overline{T} = \begin{array}{l} \overbrace{ab\cdots\cdots}^{u+1} \\ \underbrace{ba\cdots}_{v-1} \end{array}$ $\qquad (u \ge v \ge 1)$

(iii) $\overline{S} = \begin{matrix} \overbrace{\cdots\cdots ba}^{u} \\ \underbrace{\cdots ba}_{v} \end{matrix}$, $\overline{T} = \begin{matrix} \overbrace{\cdots\cdots ba}^{u+2} \\ \underbrace{\cdots ba}_{v-2} \end{matrix}$ $(u \geq v \geq 2,\ u-v\text{: even})$,

where $\{a, b\} = \{+, -\}$, *and* $\overline{S}$ *and* $\overline{T}$ *denote the diagrams obtained by removing common rows from* S *and* T.

Example 4.2. The following is the graph of closure ordering of the nilpotent K-orbits for the symmetric pair $(GL_6, GL_3 \times GL_3)$. (See Figure 1.)



FIGURE 1. Closure ordering: $(GL_6, GL_3 \times GL_3)$.

Example 4.3. Figure 2 exhibits the graph of closure ordering of the nilpotent K-orbits for the symmetric pair $(GL_8, GL_4 \times GL_4)$.

In order to determine adjacency in codimension one, we recall the dimension formula for $\mathbb{O}_T^K$ (see [CM93, Corollary 6.1.4], for example).

Lemma 4.4. *Let* λ *be a partition of* $n = p + q$, *and* $T \in \mathrm{SYD}(\lambda; p, q)$. *The dimension of the nilpotent* K*-orbit* $\mathbb{O}_T^K$ *is half of the dimension of the nilpotent* G*-orbit* $\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G$, *and we have*

$$\dim \mathbb{O}_T^K = \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathcal{O}_\lambda^G = \frac{1}{2}\left(n^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{r} ({}^t\lambda_i)^2 \right),$$

where ${}^t\lambda = ({}^t\lambda_1, {}^t\lambda_2, \ldots, {}^t\lambda_r)$ *denotes the transposed partition of* λ.



FIGURE 2. Closure ordering: $(GL_8, GL_4 \times GL_4)$.

Thus we obtain cover relations of nilpotent K-orbits on $\mathfrak{s}$ of codimension one, and hence the condition for two nilpotent K-orbits $\mathbb{O}_S^K$ and $\mathbb{O}_T^K$ $(S, T \in \mathrm{SYD}(\lambda; p, q))$ to be adjacent in codimension one.

Lemma 4.5. *Let μ and λ be partitions of $n = p + q$, and take $S \in \mathrm{SYD}(\mu; p, q)$ and $T \in \mathrm{SYD}(\lambda; p, q)$ respectively. Then $\mathbb{O}_S^K \subset \overline{\mathbb{O}_T^K}$ and*

$\dim \mathbb{O}_S^K = \dim \mathbb{O}_T^K - 1$ *if and only if one of the following two conditions holds.*

(i) $\overline{S} = \begin{matrix} \overbrace{\cdots\cdots ab}^{u} \\ \underbrace{\cdots ba}_{v} \end{matrix}$, $\overline{T} = \begin{matrix} \overbrace{\cdots\cdots ba}^{u+1} \\ \underbrace{\cdots ab}_{v-1} \end{matrix}$ $(u \geq v \geq 1)$,

and T has no rows of length $\ell = u, u-1, \ldots, v$.

(ii) $\overline{S} = \begin{matrix} \overbrace{ba\cdots\cdots}^{u} \\ \underbrace{ab\cdots}_{v} \end{matrix}$, $\overline{T} = \begin{matrix} \overbrace{ab\cdots\cdots}^{u+1} \\ \underbrace{ba\cdots}_{v-1} \end{matrix}$ $(u \geq v \geq 1)$,

and T has no rows of length $\ell = u, u-1, \ldots, v$.

Proof. Among three cases in Lemma 4.1, it turns out that in Case (iii) the codimension is always greater than one by Lemma 4.4. In Cases (i) and (ii) the codimensions are one if and only if T has no rows between two rows in $\overline{T}$. □

Lemma 4.6. *Let λ be a partition of $n = p+q$, and $T, T' \in \mathrm{SYD}(\lambda; p, q)$. Then $\mathbb{O}_T^K$ and $\mathbb{O}_{T'}^K$ are adjacent in codimension one if and only if one of the following two conditions holds.*

(i) $\overline{T} = \begin{matrix} \overbrace{ab\cdots\cdots ab}^{2u} \\ \underbrace{ba\cdots ba}_{2v} \end{matrix}$, $\overline{T'} = \begin{matrix} \overbrace{ba\cdots\cdots ba}^{2u} \\ \underbrace{ab\cdots ab}_{2v} \end{matrix}$ $(u > v \geq 0)$,

and λ has no rows of length $\ell = 2u-1, 2u-2, \ldots, 2v+1$.

(ii) $\overline{T} = \begin{matrix} \overbrace{ab\cdots\cdots ba}^{2u+1} \\ \underbrace{ba\cdots ab}_{2v+1} \end{matrix}$, $\overline{T'} = \begin{matrix} \overbrace{ba\cdots\cdots ab}^{2u+1} \\ \underbrace{ab\cdots ba}_{2v+1} \end{matrix}$ $(u > v \geq 0)$,

and λ has no rows of length $\ell = 2u, 2u-1, \ldots, 2v+2$.

Proof. Suppose that there exists $S \in \mathrm{SYD}(\mu; p, q)$ of shape $\mu \vdash n$ such that $\mathbb{O}_S^K \subset \overline{\mathbb{O}_T^K}$, $\mathbb{O}_S^K \subset \overline{\mathbb{O}_{T'}^K}$, and the codimension is equal to one. Then the only possibility is that $\mathbb{O}_S^K \subset \overline{\mathbb{O}_T^K}$ satisfies (i) (resp. (ii)), and $\mathbb{O}_S^K \subset \overline{\mathbb{O}_{T'}^K}$ satisfies (ii) (resp. (i)) in Lemma 4.5.

Suppose the length of the first row of $\overline{S}$ is odd. Since $\overline{S}$ appears in (i) and (ii) in Lemma 4.5 at the same time, the signatures a, b in (i) and those in (ii) must coincide. Thus the length of the second row is also odd, which leads us to the case (i) in the present lemma. Similarly, if the length of the first row of $\overline{S}$ is even, in Lemma 4.5, the signatures a, b in (i) and those in (ii) must be interchanged. So the length of the

second row is also even, which leads us to the case (ii) in the present lemma. □

Theorem 4.7 (Description of orbit graph)**.** *Let λ be a partition of n, and $\mathrm{SYD}(\lambda; p, q)$ the set of signed Young diagrams with signature (p, q). Recall the map $\pi : \mathrm{SYD}(\lambda; p, q) \to \mathbb{R}^k$ from Equation (4.2), where k is the number of parts of λ of different length (see Equation (4.1)).*

The structure of the orbit graph $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$ is described as follows. The vertices are $\{\mathbb{O}_T^K \mid T \in \mathrm{SYD}(\lambda; p, q)\}$ and, for two vertices $\mathbb{O}_T^K$ and $\mathbb{O}_{T'}^K$, there is an edge if and only if $\pi(T) - \pi(T')$ belongs to

$$\{\pm(e_r - e_{r+1}) \mid 1 \le r \le k-1\} \cup \{\pm e_k\}.$$

Here e_r denotes a fundamental unit vector which has 1 in the r-th coordinate and 0 elsewhere.

Proof. By the definition of $\pi : \mathrm{SYD}(\lambda; p, q) \to \mathbb{R}^k$ and Lemma 4.6, we immediately have the description of the edges. Note that the case where $v = 0$ in Case (i) of Lemma 4.6 corresponds to the edges $\pm e_k$. □

Example 4.8. (1) Consider the shape $\lambda = (6, 4, 4, 2, 2)$ and signature $(p, q) = (9, 9)$. The following is (the image under π of) the graph of $\mathrm{SYD}(\lambda; p, q)$, where dotted lines are just for help to see the structure.



FIGURE 3. Orbit graph for $\lambda = (6, 4, 4, 2, 2)$

(2) Consider the shape $\lambda = (4, 3, 3, 1, 1)$ and signature $(p, q) = (6, 6)$. Figure 4 is (the image under π of) the graph of $\mathrm{SYD}(\lambda; p, q)$. Again dotted lines are just for help to see the structure.



FIGURE 4. Orbit graph for $\lambda = (4, 3, 3, 1, 1)$

From this theorem, we can give a complete system of representatives of the connected components of $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$ in algorithmic way. The idea of getting such a representative is to start from an orbit $\mathbb{O}_T^K$ from a connected component, then to move rows in $T \in \mathrm{SYD}(\lambda; p, q)$ beginning with $\boxed{+}$ as upper as possible within the connected component containing $\mathbb{O}_T^K$.

To describe these representatives explicitly, let us introduce some notation.

Let λ be a partition of n with length $\ell = \ell(\lambda)$ and put $\lambda_{\ell+1} = 0$. Define $k_0 = 0 < k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_m$ by

$$\{k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_m\} = \{j \mid 1 \le j \le \ell,\ \lambda_j - \lambda_{j+1} \text{ is odd}\}, \tag{4.4}$$

and put

$$\begin{aligned} P(\lambda; p, q) &= \{\boldsymbol{p} = (p_1, \ldots, p_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}^m \mid \boldsymbol{p} \text{ satisfies } (*)\} \\ (*) &\begin{cases} 0 \le p_s \le k_s - k_{s-1}\ (1 \le s \le m), \\ 2 \sum_{\lambda_{k_s}:\ \text{odd}} p_s - \#(\text{odd parts}) = p - q. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

If there is no odd part in λ, then we formally put $m = 1, k_1 = 0$ and $P(\lambda; p, q) = \{(0)\}$, otherwise we get $k_1 > 0$. For $\boldsymbol{p} = (p_1, \ldots, p_m) \in P(\lambda; p, q)$, we construct a signed Young diagram $T \in \mathrm{SYD}(\lambda; p, q)$ in such a way that j-th row begins with $\boxed{+}$ if and only if $k_{s-1} < j \le k_{s-1} + p_s$ for some $1 \le s \le m$. Then the parity condition in $(*)$ for $\sum_{\lambda_{k_s}:\ \text{odd}} p_s$ assures that T has indeed the desired signature (p, q) (see Equation (4.3)). Again, if there is no odd part in λ, we associate

$(0) \in P(\lambda; p, q)$ with a signed Young diagram T in which every row starts with $\boxed{-}$. In this case it is necessary that $p = q = n/2$ holds (thus n must be even in this case).

Lemma 4.9. *With the above notation, the set*

$$\{T \in \mathrm{SYD}(\lambda; p, q) \mid T \text{ constructed from } \boldsymbol{p} \in P(\lambda; p, q)\},$$

gives a complete system of representatives of connected components of the graph $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$.

4.2. **Product of graph.** The orbit graph $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$ associated to the set of signed Young diagrams $\mathrm{SYD}(\lambda; p, q)$ is presented as a disjoint union of products of 'simple' graphs. Take a partition λ of $n = p + q$, and write $\lambda = (i_1^{m(i_1)}, i_2^{m(i_2)}, \dots, i_k^{m(i_k)})$ using multiplicities (see Equation (4.1)).

Let us use the notation in (4.4) and Lemma 4.9. For $1 \le s \le m$, we put r_s to be the number of different parts of λ between the first row and the k_s-th row (we count the k_s-th row also). Then we have an increasing sequence $r_1 < r_2 < \cdots < r_m \le k$. Recall that k is the number of different parts of λ. Here $r_m = k$ holds if the last part of λ is odd. If the last part of λ is even, $i_{r_m+1}, i_{r_m+2}, \dots, i_k$ are different even row lengths at the tail of λ. See Example 4.11, where these numbers r_s's as well as k_s's are given for several λ's.

For a collection of non-negative integers $\boldsymbol{m} = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_\ell)$ and ρ, we define connected graphs $A(\boldsymbol{m}; \rho)$ and $C(\boldsymbol{m})$ as follows. The vertices of $A(\boldsymbol{m}; \rho)$ are given by

$$\left\{ (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} 0 \le a_s \le m_s \ (1 \le s \le \ell), \\ a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_\ell = \rho \end{array} \right\},$$

and the edge between $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_\ell)$ and $(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_\ell)$ exists if and only if

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_\ell) - (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_\ell) = \pm(e_s - e_{s+1})$$

for some $s = 1, 2, \dots, \ell - 1$. The vertices of $C(\boldsymbol{m})$ is

$$\{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell \mid 0 \le a_s \le m_s \ (1 \le s \le \ell)\},$$

and the edge between $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_\ell)$ and $(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_\ell)$ exists if and only if

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_\ell) - (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_\ell) = \begin{cases} \pm(e_s - e_{s+1}) \ (s = 1, 2, \dots, \ell - 1), \text{ or} \\ \pm e_\ell. \end{cases}$$

If the parameter is empty, we set $C(\emptyset)$ to be the graph of a single point with no edge. For example, $A(2,1;1)$ and $C(1,2)$ are as follows:

$A(2,1;1)$ $\qquad\qquad$ $C(1,2)$

Theorem 4.10. *Under the above notation, the orbit graph $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$ for a partition λ of $n = p+q$ can be presented as a disjoint union of direct products of simple connected graphs as*

$$\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G) \simeq \coprod\nolimits_{\boldsymbol{p}\in P(\lambda;p,q)} Z_{\boldsymbol{p}},$$

where, if $r_m < k$, the product $Z_{\boldsymbol{p}}$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\boldsymbol{p}} = A(m(i_1),&m(i_2),\dots,m(i_{r_1});p_1) \\ &\times A(m(i_{r_1+1}),m(i_{r_1+2}),\dots,m(i_{r_2});p_2)\times\cdots \\ &\times A(m(i_{r_{m-1}+1}),m(i_{r_{m-1}+2}),\dots,m(i_{r_m});p_m) \\ &\times C(m(i_{r_m+1}),m(i_{r_m+2}),\dots,m(i_k)), \end{aligned}$$

and, if $r_m = k$,

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\boldsymbol{p}} = A(m(i_1),&m(i_2),\dots,m(i_{r_1});p_1) \\ &\times A(m(i_{r_1+1}),m(i_{r_1+2}),\dots,m(i_{r_2});p_2)\times\cdots \\ &\times A(m(i_{r_{m-1}+1}),m(i_{r_{m-1}+2}),\dots,m(i_{r_m});p_m). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The set of vertices of the orbit graph $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$ is in one-to-one correspondence with the set of signed Young diagrams $\mathrm{SYD}(\lambda;p,q)$, and, if i_m is strictly smaller than k, its image under the map $\pi : \mathrm{SYD}(\lambda;p,q) \to \mathbb{R}^k$ is

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{(a_1,a_2,\dots,a_k)\in\mathbb{Z}^k \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} 0\le a_s\le m(i_s)\ (1\le s\le k), \\ 2\sum\limits_{i_s:\ \text{odd}} a_s - \#(\text{odd parts}) = p-q \end{array}\right\} \\ &= \coprod_{\boldsymbol{p}\in P(\lambda;p,q)} \left\{(a_1,a_2,\dots,a_k)\in\mathbb{Z}^k \middle| \begin{array}{l} 0\le a_s\le m(i_s)\ (1\le s\le k), \\ a_{r_{t-1}+1}+\cdots+a_{r_t} = p_t \\ \qquad\qquad (1\le t\le m) \end{array}\right\} \qquad (4.6) \\ &\simeq \coprod_{\boldsymbol{p}\in P(\lambda;p,q)} \prod_{t=1}^{m} V\big(A(m(i_{r_{t-1}+1}),\dots,m(i_{r_t});p_t)\big) \\ &\qquad\qquad \times V\big(C(m(i_{r_m+1}),\dots,m(i_k))\big), \end{aligned}$$

where we put $r_0 = 0$, and $V(\Gamma)$ denotes the set of vertices of a graph Γ. If $r_m = k$, then the last term in the last equality will not appear.

Since the edges of $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$ are of the form $\pm(e_s - e_{s+1})$ or $\pm e_k$ ($s = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$ and $i_s - i_{s+1}$ is even), every edge sits inside some factor of the right-hand side of (4.6). Therefore (4.6) turns out to be a disjoint union of direct products not only as sets but also as graphs. $\square$

Example 4.11. (1) Let $\lambda = (6, 4, 4, 2, 2) = (6, 4^2, 2^2)$ be a partition of 18 and $(p, q) = (9, 9)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(i_1, i_2, i_3) = (6, 4, 2), \quad (m(i_1), m(i_2), m(i_3)) = (1, 2, 2), \quad k = 3,\\
&(k_0, k_1) = (0, 0), \quad m = 1, \quad r_1 = 0,\\
&P(\lambda; p, q) = \{(0)\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G) \simeq C(1, 2, 2)$ as given in Example 4.8 (1).

(2) Let $\lambda = (4, 3, 3, 1, 1) = (4, 3^2, 1^2)$ and $(p, q) = (6, 6)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(i_1, i_2, i_3) = (4, 3, 1), \quad (m(i_1), m(i_2), m(i_3)) = (1, 2, 2), \quad k = 3,\\
&(k_0, k_1, k_2) = (0, 1, 5), \quad m = 2, \quad (r_1, r_2) = (1, 3),\\
&P(\lambda; p, q) = \{(0, 2), (1, 2)\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

So we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G) &\simeq \coprod_{(p_1, p_2)} A(1; p_1) \times A(2, 2; p_2)\\
&\simeq A(1; 0) \times A(2, 2; 2) \amalg A(1; 1) \times A(2, 2; 2)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\simeq$ 

$\simeq$ 

as given in Example 4.8 (2).

(3) Let $\lambda = (9, 9, 8, 8, 6, 5, 4, 2, 2) = (9^2, 8^2, 6, 5, 4, 2^2)$ and $(p, q) = (27, 26)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_6) = (9, 8, 6, 5, 4, 2),\\
&(m(i_1), m(i_2), \dots, m(i_6)) = (2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 2), \quad k = 6,\\
&(k_0, k_1, k_2, k_3) = (0, 2, 5, 6), \quad m = 3, \quad (r_1, r_2, r_3) = (1, 3, 4),\\
&P(\lambda; p, q) = \{(p_1, p_2, p_3) \mid p_1 \in [0, 2], p_2 \in [0, 3], p_3 \in [0, 1], p_1 + p_3 = 2\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that the parity condition for $\boldsymbol{p} \in P(\lambda; p, q)$ reads as $2(p_1+p_3)-3 = 27-26$, so we get $p_1+p_3 = 2$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G) \\
&\simeq \coprod_{(p_1,p_2,p_3)} A(2;p_1) \times A(2,1;p_2) \times A(1;p_3) \times C(1,2) \\
&\simeq \Big(A(2;1) \times A(1;1) \amalg A(2;2) \times A(1;0) \Big) \times \coprod_{p_2=0}^{3} A(2,1;p_2) \times C(1,2)
\end{aligned}$$

$\simeq$ (•×• ⊔ •×•) ×



×

$\simeq$ (••) ×



$\simeq$



4.3. **Induction of subgraphs.** Let us consider the following operation on the partitions. We identify the partitions with Young diagrams in standard way. Given a Young diagram (or a partition) λ, we remove two successive *columns* of the same length from λ (if they exist), and we get λ'. To explain this operation in another way, let us consider the transposed partition $\mu = {}^t\lambda$. If $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{\ell'})$ has a pair of repeated parts, i.e., if $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_i, \mu_{i+1}, \dots, \mu_{\ell'})$ with $\mu_i = \mu_{i+1}$, we remove that pair, and then take the transpose again. So we get

$$\lambda' = {}^t(\mu_1, \dots, \hat{\mu_i}, \hat{\mu_{i+1}}, \dots, \mu_{\ell'}), \tag{4.7}$$

where $\hat{\cdot}$ means elimination.

Lemma 4.12. *Let λ and λ' be as above, and h the height of the columns removed from λ. Then the number of connected components of $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$ coincides with that of $\Gamma_{K'}(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda'}^{G'})$, where $(G,K) = (GL_n, GL_p \times GL_q)$, and $(G',K') = (GL_{n-2h}, GL_{p'} \times GL_{q'})$ with $p' = p-h$ and $q' = q-h$.*

Proof. The number $0 < k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_m$ for λ given in Equation (4.4) are the same as those for λ', since the parities of the row lengths are the same for λ and λ'. By the same reason the number of the odd parts is the same for λ and λ'. Together with $p-q = (p-h)-(q-h) = p'-q'$, it turns out that the set $P(\lambda'; p', q')$, which parameterizes the connected components of $\Gamma_{K'}(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda'}^{G'})$ is equal to $P(\lambda; p, q)$. Hence the

number of the connected components of $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$ coincides with that of $\Gamma_{K'}(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda'}^{G'})$. □

Let us refine the lemma above, which helps us to understand the connected components more concretely. Actually, we describe the connected components of $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$ in terms of those of $\Gamma_{K'}(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda'}^{G'})$. To do so, we need some notation.

Let Z' be a full subgraph of $\Gamma_{K'}(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda'}^{G'})$. For each vertex $\mathbb{O}_{T'}^{K'}$ in Z', we construct several nilpotent K-orbits $\{\mathbb{O}_T^K\}_T$ as follows. Since λ' is contained in λ (as a Young diagram, in the left and upper justified manner), we can put the signed Young diagram T' inside the shape λ. In other words, we fill $\pm$'s in $\lambda' \subset \lambda$ in such a way that it recovers T'. If T' has several rows of the same length, we allow every possible permutations of such rows. After that, we fill $\pm$'s in λ/λ' in every possible way, which is compatible with T'.

Example 4.13. (1) Let us consider the case where $(p,q) = (8,7)$, $(p',q') = (3,2)$, and

$$\lambda' = (2^2,1) \subset \lambda = (4^2,3,2^2).$$

Pick $T' \in \mathrm{SYD}(\lambda';3,2)$ below, and we get a set of signed Young diagrams in $\mathrm{SYD}(\lambda;8,7)$ as follows.

$$T' = \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline + & - \\ \hline - & + \\ \hline + \\ \cline{1-1} \end{array} \in \mathrm{SYD}(\lambda';3,2) \rightsquigarrow \{T \in \mathrm{SYD}(\lambda;8,7)\}$$

$$T = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline + & - & + & - \\ \hline - & + & - & + \\ \hline + & - & + \\ \cline{1-3} + & - \\ \cline{1-2} + & - \\ \cline{1-2} \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline + & - & + & - \\ \hline - & + & - & + \\ \hline + & - & + \\ \cline{1-3} + & - \\ \cline{1-2} - & + \\ \cline{1-2} \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline + & - & + & - \\ \hline - & + & - & + \\ \hline + & - & + \\ \cline{1-3} - & + \\ \cline{1-2} - & + \\ \cline{1-2} \end{array}$$

(2) Similarly we give an example where $(p,q) = (7,5)$, $(p',q') = (4,2)$, and $\lambda' = (2,1^4) \subset \lambda = (4,3^2,1^2)$. Let us consider $T' \in \mathrm{SYD}(\lambda';4,2)$ below. Note that we can reorder the tail of T' as we like.

$$T' = \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline + & - \\ \hline + \\ \cline{1-1} + \\ \cline{1-1} + \\ \cline{1-1} - \\ \cline{1-1} \end{array} = \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline + & - \\ \hline + \\ \cline{1-1} - \\ \cline{1-1} + \\ \cline{1-1} + \\ \cline{1-1} \end{array}$$

Then we obtain $\{T \in \mathrm{SYD}(\lambda;7,5)\}$ from T' as follows.

$$T = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline + & - & + & - \\ \hline + & - & + \\ \cline{1-3} + & - & + \\ \cline{1-3} + \\ \cline{1-1} - \\ \cline{1-1} \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline + & - & + & - \\ \hline + & - & + \\ \cline{1-3} - & + & - \\ \cline{1-3} + \\ \cline{1-1} + \\ \cline{1-1} \end{array}$$

We get several signed Young diagrams of the shape λ in this way. We repeat this procedure for each vertex $\mathbb{O}_{T'}^{K'}$ of Z'. Collecting all the

signed Young diagrams thus obtained from Z', we finally get a subset $\mathrm{ind}(Z') \subset \mathrm{SYD}(\lambda; p, q)$ or a subset of nilpotent K-orbits contained in $\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G \cap \mathfrak{s}$. (Since Z' is a graph, we should write $\mathrm{ind}(V(Z'))$ instead of $\mathrm{ind}(Z')$, but we prefer this simpler notation.) We denote a full subgraph of $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$ with the vertices in $\mathrm{ind}(Z')$ by $\text{g-ind}_{(G',K')}^{(G,K)}(Z')$ or simply by $\text{g-ind}(Z')$.

Lemma 4.14. *Let λ and λ' be as above and we use the notation in Lemma 4.12. If Z' is a connected component of $\Gamma_{K'}(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda'}^{G'})$, then $\text{g-ind}(Z')$ is a connected component of $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$. This correspondence establishes a bijection between the connected components of $\Gamma_{K'}(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda'}^{G'})$ and those of $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$.*

Proof. Note that any $T \in \mathrm{SYD}(\lambda; p, q)$ is contained in $\mathrm{ind}(\{T'\})$ for some $T' \in \mathrm{SYD}(\lambda'; p', q')$. Also, for two signed Young diagrams $T' \neq T'' \in \mathrm{SYD}(\lambda'; p', q')$, it is immediate to see that $\mathrm{ind}(\{T'\}) \cap \mathrm{ind}(\{T''\}) = \emptyset$. Thus it is sufficient to prove that $\text{g-ind}(Z') \subset \Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$ is connected. In fact, if we can prove that $\text{g-ind}(Z')$ is connected, we have a well-defined surjective map from the connected components of $\Gamma_{K'}(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda'}^{G'})$ to those of $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$. Since the number of connected components are equal by Lemma 4.12, this map must be bijective. By the arguments above, $\mathrm{ind}(Z')$ covers all the vertices of $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$ when Z' moves connected components of $\Gamma_{K'}(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda'}^{G'})$. This means that $\text{g-ind}(Z')$ must be a connected component.

So let us prove that $\text{g-ind}(Z')$ is connected.

Take $T' \in \mathrm{SYD}(\lambda'; p', q')$, where $p' = p - h$ and $q' = q - h$. First, we will prove that $\text{g-ind}(\{T'\})$ is connected.

We write $\mu = {}^t\lambda = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_{\ell_1})$, and

$$\lambda' = {}^t(\mu_1, \dots, \hat{\mu_i}, \hat{\mu_{i+1}}, \dots, \mu_{\ell_1}), \quad h = \mu_i = \mu_{i+1}$$

as in Equation (4.7). Here, without loss of generality, we can assume that the removed columns are at the rightmost position among the columns of the same length h, i.e., $\mu_{i+1} > \mu_{i+2}$ with the convention $\mu_{\ell_1} > \mu_{\ell_1+1} = 0$. Then there are three possibilities: (i) $i > 1$ and $\mu_{i-1} = \mu_i$; (ii) $i > 1$ and $\mu_{i-1} > \mu_i$; (iii) $i = 1$, i.e., we remove first two columns. Let us recall the map π in Equation (4.2), and choose an arbitrary $T \in \mathrm{ind}(\{T'\})$.

Case (i). In this case, it is easy to see that there is a unique choice for T, and $\mathrm{ind}(\{T'\})$ is one point. So it is connected.

Case (ii). In this case, we have $\mu_{i-1} > \mu_i = \mu_{i+1} > \mu_{i+2}$. As in Equation (4.1), we write

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda &= (i_1, \dots, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_2, \dots, i_k, \dots, i_k) \\ &= (i_1^{\nu_1}, i_2^{\nu_2}, \dots, i_k^{\nu_k}), \\ &\qquad i_1 > i_2 > \cdots > i_k > 0, \quad \nu_r > 0 \ \ (1 \le r \le k).\end{aligned}$$

If we remove two columns of the same length $\mu_i = \mu_{i+1}$ from λ, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda' = (i_1'^{\nu_1'}, i_2'^{\nu_2'}, \dots, i_{k-1}'^{\nu_{k-1}'}), \\ i_1' > i_2' > \cdots > i_{k-1}' > 0, \quad \nu_r' > 0 \ \ (1 \le r \le k-1).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda = \lambda' + (2^h)$ ($h = \mu_i = \mu_{i+1}$), there exists $1 \le j < k$ such that $i_j = i_{j+1} + 2$ and

$$\begin{cases} i_r = i_r' + 2 \\ \nu_r = \nu_r' \end{cases} \qquad (1 \le r \le j-1),$$

$$\begin{cases} i_{j+1} = i_j' \\ \nu_j + \nu_{j+1} = \nu_j' \end{cases} \quad ,$$

$$\begin{cases} i_r = i_{r-1}' \\ \nu_r = \nu_{r-1}' \end{cases} \qquad (j+2 \le r \le k).$$

Fix $T \in \mathrm{ind}(\{T'\})$ and we write

$$\pi(T) = (m_T^+(i_1), m_T^+(i_2), \dots, m_T^+(i_k)) =: (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}^k.$$

and

$$\pi(T') = (m_{T'}^+(i_1'), \dots, m_{T'}^+(i_{k-1}')) =: (b_1, \dots, b_{k-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}^{k-1}.$$

Then by the definition of the map π and the construction of the tableau T, we get

$$(b_1, \dots, b_{k-1}) = (a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}, a_j + a_{j+1}, a_{j+2}, \dots, a_k).$$

Thus we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}&\{\pi(T) \mid T \in \mathrm{ind}(\{T'\})\} \\ &\quad = \left\{ (b_1, \dots, b_{j-1}, a_j, a_{j+1}, b_{j+1}, \dots, b_{k-1}) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} a_j + a_{j+1} = b_j \\ 0 \le a_j \le \nu_j \\ 0 \le a_{j+1} \le \nu_{j+1} \end{array} \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that the parity condition (4.3) is automatically satisfied since $\pi(T') = (b_1, \dots, b_{k-1})$ satisfies it, and i_j and i_{j+1} have the same parity. Now it is clear that $\{\pi(T) \mid T \in \mathrm{ind}(\{T'\})\}$ constitutes a segment in the direction of $\pm(e_j - e_{j+1})$, hence g-ind$(\{T'\})$ is connected.

Case (iii). In this case, we must have

$$\lambda = (i_1^{\nu_1}, i_2^{\nu_2}, \dots, i_{k-1}^{\nu_{k-1}}, 2^{\nu_k}),$$
$$i_1 > i_2 > \cdots > i_{k-1} > 2, \quad \nu_r > 0 \ \ (1 \le r \le k).$$

We remove first two columns from λ and get

$$\lambda' = ((i_1 - 2)^{\nu_1}, (i_2 - 2)^{\nu_2}, \dots, (i_{k-1} - 2)^{\nu_{k-1}}).$$

If we denote $\pi(T') = (b_1, \dots, b_{k-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}^{k-1}$ as above, we conclude that

$$\{\pi(T) \mid T \in \mathrm{ind}(\{T'\})\} = \{(b_1, \dots, b_{k-1}, a_k) \mid 0 \le a_k \le \nu_k\}.$$

This set also constitutes a segment in the direction of $\pm e_k$, hence g-ind$(\{T'\})$ is connected.

Next, we prove that if T' and T'' in $\mathrm{SYD}(\lambda'; p', q')$ are adjacent in codimension one, then there are $T_1 \in \mathrm{ind}(\{T'\})$ and $T_2 \in \mathrm{ind}(\{T''\})$ which are adjacent in $\mathrm{SYD}(\lambda; p, q)$. We also prove this by case-analysis, so we divide the proof into three cases (i)–(iii) introduced above. These cases depend only on λ and λ', not depending on individual $T' \in \mathrm{SYD}(\lambda'; p', q')$.

Case (i). In this case, there is only one signed Young diagram T_1 belonging to $\mathrm{ind}(\{T'\})$ for any T'. It is easy to check that $\pi(T_1) = \pi(T')$. The same is true for $\{T_2\} = \mathrm{ind}(T'')$. Thus we know $\pi(T_1) - \pi(T_2) = \pi(T') - \pi(T'')$, and this gives the edge in the orbit graph realized in $\mathbb{R}^k$. So the claim obviously holds.

Case (ii). Let $\pi(T') = (b_1, \dots, b_{k-1})$ and $\pi(T'') = (d_1, \dots, d_{k-1})$ as above. Then $\pi(T_1) = (b_1, \dots, b_{j-1}, a_j, a_{j+1}, b_{j+1}, \dots, b_{k-1})$ for certain integers a_j, a_{j+1} with the property $a_j + a_{j+1} = b_j$ and $0 \le a_j \le \nu_j, 0 \le a_{j+1} \le \nu_{j+1}$. Similarly $\pi(T_2) = (d_1, \dots, d_{j-1}, c_j, c_{j+1}, d_{j+1}, \dots, d_{k-1})$ with $c_j + c_{j+1} = d_j$ and $0 \le c_j \le \nu_j, 0 \le c_{j+1} \le \nu_{j+1}$. Let us assume that $\pi(T') = \pi(T'') + (e_r - e_{r+1})$ for certain r, i.e., assume that T' and T'' are connected by the edge corresponding to $e_r - e_{r+1}$.

If $r \ne j-1, j$, then $b_j = d_j$ holds, and we can take $(a_j, a_{j+1}) = (c_j, c_{j+1})$. Thus T_1 and T_2 are connected by the edge corresponding to $e_r - e_{r+1}$.

If $r = j - 1$, then $(b_{j-1}, b_j) = (d_{j-1} + 1, d_j - 1)$ and all the other b's and d's coincide with each other. Since $c_j + c_{j+1} = d_j \ge 1$, we can assume that $c_j \ge 1$. If we put $(a_j, a_{j+1}) = (c_j - 1, c_{j+1})$, clearly T_1 and T_2 are connected by the edge corresponding to $e_{j-1} - e_j$. The case of $r = j$ can be treated similarly.

Next, we assume that T' and T'' are connected by the edge corresponding to e_{k-1}. If $j \ne k-1$, then we can take $(a_j, a_{j+1}) = (c_j, c_{j+1})$ and conclude that T_1 and T_2 are connected by the edge e_k. If $j = k-1$, we have $b_j = b_{k-1} = d_{k-1} + 1 \ge 1$. Since $a_{k-1} + a_k = b_{k-1}$, we can

choose $a_k > 0$ and put $(d_{k-1}, d_k) = (a_{k-1}, a_k - 1)$. Then, clearly T_1 and T_2 are connected by the edge e_k.

Case (iii). Assume that

$$\pi(T') = (b_1, \dots, b_{k-1}), \quad \pi(T_1) = (b_1, \dots, b_{k-1}, a_k) \quad (0 \le a_k \le \nu_k),$$
$$\pi(T'') = (d_1, \dots, d_{k-1}), \quad \pi(T_2) = (d_1, \dots, d_{k-1}, c_k) \quad (0 \le c_k \le \nu_k).$$

If T' and T'' are connected by the edge $e_r - e_{r+1}$ $(r < k-1)$, we can take $a_k = c_k$ above, and conclude that T_1 and T_2 are also connected by the edge $e_r - e_{r+1}$.

If T' and T'' are connected by the edge e_{k-1}, we can take $a_k = 0, c_k = 1$ above, and conclude that T_1 and T_2 are also connected by the edge $e_{k-1} - e_k$. □

4.4. **Number of connected components.** If we remove pairs of the columns with the same length from λ repeatedly, then we will finally reach a Young diagram ρ with columns of different lengths. Lemma 4.12 tells that the orbit graph $\Gamma_{K'}(\mathcal{O}_\rho^{G'})$ has the same number of connected components as that of $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$. Therefore, to answer Problem 2.2 (2), it suffices to consider the Young diagrams with columns of different lengths.

Theorem 4.15. (1) *The orbit graph $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$ consists of a single vertex if and only if* (a) *the parts in λ are all odd; and* (b) *$\ell(\lambda) = |p - q|$ or $\lambda = (r^\ell)$ for some odd r.*

(2) *The orbit graph $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$ has no edges if and only if each column length of λ occurs odd times or it consists of a single vertex. In particular, if λ has distinct column lengths, i.e., if the transposed partition ${}^t\lambda$ has distinct parts, then $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$ has no edge.*

(3) *Assume that λ has distinct column lengths. In this case the number of the connected components (i.e., the number of the vertices) of the orbit graph $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$ is given by*

$$\prod_{\substack{1 \le s \le m \\ \lambda_{k_s} \,:\, odd}} (1 + t + \cdots + t^{k_s - k_{s-1}})\Big|_{t^d} \times \prod_{\substack{1 \le s \le m \\ \lambda_{k_s} \,:\, even}} (1 + k_s - k_{s-1}), \tag{4.8}$$

where $k_0 = 0 < k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_m$ are the (distinct) column lengths of λ, $f(t)\big|_{t^d}$ denotes the coefficient of t^d, and d is the number given by

$$d := \frac{p - q + \#(\text{odd parts of } \lambda)}{2}. \tag{4.9}$$

If d is not an integer, then there is no signed Young diagram of shape λ with signature (p, q).

Proof. (1) If there is an even part in λ, then clearly we have more than two signed Young diagrams of the same shape λ (the even part can start with the both $+/-$ signs). So the parts in λ should be odd. Now we assume $p \geq q$. The case where $p < q$ can be treated similarly. Since all the parts in λ are odd, the parity condition (4.5) becomes

$$\sum_{\lambda_{k_s}\,:\,\text{odd}} p_s = \frac{1}{2}\big(\ell(\lambda) + p - q\big). \tag{4.10}$$

Since there should be a unique choice of $(p_s)_{s=1}^m$, if $m \neq 1$, all p_s's must attain the largest possible value, namely $p_s = k_s - k_{s-1}$. Then the left hand side of (4.10) is equal to $k_m = \ell(\lambda)$, and we get $\ell(\lambda) = p - q$. On the other hand, $m = 1$ forces a unique column length so that we have $\lambda = (r^\ell)$ for some r.

(2) Let us assume the orbit graph has more than two vertices. The partition λ has a column length that occurs even times if and only if

(i) there are two successive row lengths i_s and i_{s+1} of the same parity, or
(ii) the smallest part of λ is even.

By Lemma 4.6, this condition is equivalent to the condition that the orbit graph $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$ has an edge provided that there are at least two vertices. Hence $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$ has no edges if and only if each column length of λ occurs odd times.

(3) Note that the numbers k_s's are the same as k_s's defined in Equation (4.4). Thus it suffices to count the elements in $P(\lambda; p, q)$ defined just after Equation (4.4).

If λ_{k_s} is even, p_s can be any integer contained in the interval $[0, k_s - k_{s-1}]$. Therefore the number of choices is equal to the second product of (4.8). If λ_{k_s} is odd, we can choose integers p_s in $[0, k_s - k_{s-1}]$ subject to the relation

$$\sum_{s:\text{odd}} p_s = \frac{p - q + \#(\text{odd parts})}{2} = d.$$

Note that the integer d coincides with the number of the rows of odd length beginning with $\boxed{+}$. Therefore the number of choices for p_s (λ_{k_s}: odd) is the coefficient of t^d in

$$\prod_{\substack{1 \leq s \leq m \\ \lambda_{k_s}:\ \text{odd}}} (1 + t + \cdots + t^{k_s - k_{s-1}}).$$

Thus we have the desired formula. □

From this theorem, the condition for an orbit graph to be connected is immediate.

Corollary 4.16. *For a nilpotent G-orbit $\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G$ in $\mathfrak{g}$, the graph $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$ is connected if and only if there exists $0 \leq r \leq \ell = \ell(\lambda)$ such that*

$$\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \text{ are odd, and } \lambda_{r+1}, \dots, \lambda_\ell \text{ are even.} \tag{4.11}$$

Since r can be 0 or ℓ, we allow the cases where all the λ_i's are even, or where they are all odd.

Proof. The second product of (4.8) is equal to one if and only if the product is empty, namely, there is no even parts in λ except for successive even parts at the tail of $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$. Thus (4.11) is the necessary condition.

If (4.11) is satisfied, then there is at most one factor in the first product of (4.8), and the second product is equal to one. Therefore (4.11) is also the sufficient condition. □

Note that a nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G$ is even if and only if all the parts of λ have the same parity. So we have

Corollary 4.17. *Let us consider the symmetric pair of type* AIII. *If a nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O}^G$ is even, the orbit graph $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}^G)$ is connected.*

5. Orbit graphs for classical symmetric pairs

For symmetric pairs of types other than AIII, we have similar results on the structure of orbit graphs, induction of subgraphs and the number of connected components of orbit graphs.

5.1. **Structure of orbit graphs.**

As to the structure of orbit graphs, we need information on

- vertices of the graph, i.e., the classification of nilpotent K-orbits by the set of signed Young diagrams. This can be deduced from Table 2.
- closure relations of nilpotent K-orbits on $\mathfrak{s}$ of codimension one (Lemma 5.2). This can be deduced from the following.
  - cover relations of nilpotent K-orbits, which are given by Ohta [Oht91, Table V] quoted in Tables 3 and 4.
  - dimension formulas for nilpotent K-orbits (Lemma 5.1).
- edges of the graph, i.e., the condition when two nilpotent K-orbits are adjacent in codimension one (Lemma 5.3).

Lemma 5.1. *For a symmetric pair of type* $\mathrm{X} = \mathrm{BDI}, \mathrm{CI}, \mathrm{CII}$ *or* DIII, *let $\lambda \in \mathrm{YD}_{\mathrm{X}}(n)$ be a partition of $n = p + q$, and $T \in \mathrm{SYD}_{\mathrm{X}}(\lambda; p, q)$ a*

TABLE 3. Cover relations of nilpotent K-orbits on $\mathfrak{s}$

DIII

	$\overline{S}$	$\overline{T}$	
(1)	$\overbrace{ab\cdots ba}^{2u-1}$ $ba\cdots ab$ $ab\cdots ba$ $\underbrace{ba\cdots ab}_{2v-1}$	$\overbrace{ab\cdots ab}^{2u}$ $ab\cdots ab$ $ba\cdots ba$ $\underbrace{ba\cdots ba}_{2v-2}$	$(u \geq v \geq 1)$
(2)	$\overbrace{ba\cdots ba}^{2u}$ $ba\cdots ba$ $ab\cdots ba$ $\underbrace{ba\cdots ab}_{2v-1}$	$\overbrace{ab\cdots ba}^{2u+1}$ $ba\cdots ab$ $ba\cdots ba$ $\underbrace{ba\cdots ba}_{2v-2}$	$(u \geq v \geq 1)$
(3)	$\overbrace{ab\cdots ba}^{2u-1}$ $ba\cdots ab$ $ba\cdots ba$ $\underbrace{ba\cdots ba}_{2v}$	$\overbrace{ba\cdots ba}^{2u}$ $ba\cdots ba$ $ab\cdots ba$ $\underbrace{ba\cdots ab}_{2v-1}$	$(u \geq v \geq 1)$
(4)	$\overbrace{ab\cdots ab}^{2u}$ $ab\cdots ab$ $ba\cdots ba$ $\underbrace{ba\cdots ba}_{2v}$	$\overbrace{ab\cdots ba}^{2u+1}$ $ba\cdots ab$ $ab\cdots ba$ $\underbrace{ba\cdots ab}_{2v-1}$	$(u \geq v \geq 1)$
(5)	$\overbrace{ba\cdots ba}^{2u}$ $ba\cdots ba$ $ba\cdots ba$ $\underbrace{ba\cdots ba}_{2v}$	$\overbrace{ba\cdots ba}^{2u+2}$ $ba\cdots ba$ $ba\cdots ba$ $\underbrace{ba\cdots ba}_{2v-2}$	$(u \geq v \geq 1)$

CII

	$\overline{S}$	$\overline{T}$	
(1)	$\overbrace{ba\cdots ba}^{2u}$ $ab\cdots ab$ $ba\cdots ba$ $\underbrace{ab\cdots ab}_{2v}$	$\overbrace{ba\cdots ab}^{2u+1}$ $ba\cdots ab$ $ab\cdots ba$ $\underbrace{ab\cdots ba}_{2v-1}$	$(u \geq v \geq 1)$
(2)	$\overbrace{ab\cdots ba}^{2u+1}$ $ab\cdots ba$ $ba\cdots ba$ $\underbrace{ab\cdots ab}_{2v}$	$\overbrace{ba\cdots ba}^{2u+2}$ $ab\cdots ab$ $ab\cdots ba$ $\underbrace{ab\cdots ba}_{2v-1}$	$(u \geq v \geq 1)$
(3)	$\overbrace{ba\cdots ba}^{2u}$ $ab\cdots ab$ $ab\cdots ba$ $\underbrace{ab\cdots ba}_{2v+1}$	$\overbrace{ab\cdots ba}^{2u+1}$ $ab\cdots ba$ $ba\cdots ba$ $\underbrace{ab\cdots ab}_{2v}$	$(u \geq v \geq 0)$
(4)	$\overbrace{ba\cdots ab}^{2u+1}$ $ba\cdots ab$ $ab\cdots ba$ $\underbrace{ab\cdots ba}_{2v+1}$	$\overbrace{ba\cdots ba}^{2u+2}$ $ab\cdots ab$ $ba\cdots ba$ $\underbrace{ab\cdots ab}_{2v}$	$(u \geq v \geq 0)$
(5)	$\overbrace{ab\cdots ba}^{2u+1}$ $ab\cdots ba$ $ab\cdots ba$ $\underbrace{ab\cdots ba}_{2v+1}$	$\overbrace{ab\cdots ba}^{2u+3}$ $ab\cdots ba$ $ab\cdots ba$ $\underbrace{ab\cdots ba}_{2v-1}$	$(u \geq v \geq 1)$

signed Young diagram of type X. *Recall that we put* $q = p$ *in the case of type* CI *or* DIII. *Then we have*

$$\dim \mathbb{O}_T^K = \frac{1}{2}\dim \mathcal{O}_\lambda^G$$
$$= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{1}{2}n(2n-1) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_i ({}^t\lambda_i)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i:odd} m_i\Big) & (\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_n), \\ \frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{1}{2}n(2n+1) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_i ({}^t\lambda_i)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i:odd} m_i\Big) & (\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_n,\ n : even), \end{cases}$$

where ${}^t\lambda = ({}^t\lambda_1, {}^t\lambda_2, \ldots)$ *is the transposed partition of* λ, *and* m_i *denotes the multiplicity of* i *in* λ.

Proof. See [CM93, Corollary 6.1.4], for example. □

Lemma 5.2. *The closure relations of nilpotent* K*-orbits on* $\mathfrak{s}$ *of codimension one are given as follows.*

TABLE 4. Cover relations of nilpotent K-orbits on $\mathfrak{s}$ (continued)

CI

	$\overline{S}$	$\overline{T}$	
(1)	$\overbrace{ab\cdots ba}^{2u-1}$ $\underbrace{ba\cdots ab}_{2u-1}$	$\overbrace{ab\cdots ab}^{2u}$ $\underbrace{ba\cdots ba}_{2u-2}$	$(u=v\geq 1)$
(2)	$\overbrace{ba\cdots ba}^{2u}$ $\underbrace{ba\cdots ba}_{2v}$	$\overbrace{ba\cdots ba}^{2u+2}$ $\underbrace{ba\cdots ba}_{2v-2}$	$(u\geq v\geq 1)$
(3)	$\overbrace{ba\cdots ba}^{2u}$ $\underbrace{ab\cdots ab}_{2v}$	$\overbrace{ba\cdots ba}^{2u+2}$ $\underbrace{ab\cdots ab}_{2v-2}$	$(u\geq v\geq 1)$
(4)	$\overbrace{ba\cdots ba}^{2u}$ $ab\cdots ab$ $\underbrace{ba\cdots ba}_{2v}$	$\overbrace{ab\cdots ba}^{2u+1}$ $ba\cdots ab$ $\underbrace{ba\cdots ba}_{2v-2}$	$(u\geq v\geq 1)$
(5)	$\overbrace{ba\cdots ba}^{2u}$ $ba\cdots ba$ $\underbrace{ab\cdots ab}_{2v}$	$\overbrace{ba\cdots ba}^{2u+2}$ $ba\cdots ab$ $\underbrace{ab\cdots ba}_{2v-1}$	$(u\geq v\geq 1)$
(6)	$\overbrace{ba\cdots ba}^{2u}$ $ab\cdots ab$ $ba\cdots ba$ $\underbrace{ab\cdots ab}_{2v}$	$\overbrace{ba\cdots ab}^{2u+1}$ $ab\cdots ba$ $ba\cdots ab$ $\underbrace{ab\cdots ba}_{2v-1}$	$(u\geq v\geq 1)$
(7)	$\overbrace{ab\cdots ab}^{2u}$ $ab\cdots ab$ $ba\cdots ba$ $\underbrace{ba\cdots ba}_{2v}$	$\overbrace{ab\cdots ba}^{2u+1}$ $ba\cdots ab$ $ab\cdots ba$ $\underbrace{ba\cdots ab}_{2v-1}$	$(u\geq v\geq 1)$
(8)	$\overbrace{ab\cdots ab}^{2u}$ $\underbrace{ba\cdots ba}_{2v}$	$\overbrace{ba\cdots ba}^{2u+2}$ $\underbrace{ab\cdots ab}_{2v-2}$	$(u\geq v\geq 1)$
(9)	$\overbrace{ab\cdots ab}^{2u}$ $ba\cdots ba$ $\underbrace{ba\cdots ba}_{2v}$	$\overbrace{ba\cdots ba}^{2u+2}$ $ab\cdots ba$ $\underbrace{ba\cdots ab}_{2v-1}$	$(u\geq v\geq 1)$
(10)	$\overbrace{ab\cdots ab}^{2u}$ $ab\cdots ab$ $\underbrace{ba\cdots ba}_{2v}$	$\overbrace{ab\cdots ba}^{2u+1}$ $ba\cdots ab$ $\underbrace{ab\cdots ab}_{2v-2}$	$(u\geq v\geq 1)$

BDI

	$\overline{S}$	$\overline{T}$	
(1)	$\overbrace{ba\cdots ba}^{2u}$ $\underbrace{ab\cdots ab}_{2u}$	$\overbrace{ba\cdots ab}^{2u+1}$ $\underbrace{ab\cdots ba}_{2u-1}$	$(u=v\geq 1)$
(2)	$\overbrace{ab\cdots ba}^{2u+1}$ $\underbrace{ab\cdots ba}_{2v+1}$	$\overbrace{ab\cdots ba}^{2u+3}$ $\underbrace{ab\cdots ba}_{2v-1}$	$(u\geq v\geq 1)$
(3)	$\overbrace{ab\cdots ba}^{2u+1}$ $\underbrace{ba\cdots ab}_{2v+1}$	$\overbrace{ab\cdots ba}^{2u+3}$ $\underbrace{ba\cdots ab}_{2v-1}$	$(u\geq v\geq 1)$
(4)	$\overbrace{ab\cdots ba}^{2u+1}$ $ba\cdots ab$ $\underbrace{ab\cdots ba}_{2v+1}$	$\overbrace{ba\cdots ba}^{2u+2}$ $ab\cdots ab$ $\underbrace{ab\cdots ba}_{2v-1}$	$(u\geq v\geq 1)$
(5)	$\overbrace{ab\cdots ba}^{2u+1}$ $ab\cdots ba$ $\underbrace{ba\cdots ab}_{2v+1}$	$\overbrace{ab\cdots ba}^{2u+3}$ $ab\cdots ab$ $\underbrace{ba\cdots ba}_{2v}$	$(u\geq v\geq 0)$
(6)	$\overbrace{ab\cdots ba}^{2u+1}$ $ba\cdots ab$ $ab\cdots ba$ $\underbrace{ba\cdots ab}_{2v+1}$	$\overbrace{ab\cdots ab}^{2u+2}$ $ba\cdots ba$ $ab\cdots ab$ $\underbrace{ba\cdots ba}_{2v}$	$(u\geq v\geq 0)$
(7)	$\overbrace{ba\cdots ab}^{2u+1}$ $ba\cdots ab$ $ab\cdots ba$ $\underbrace{ab\cdots ba}_{2v+1}$	$\overbrace{ba\cdots ba}^{2u+2}$ $ab\cdots ab$ $ba\cdots ba$ $\underbrace{ab\cdots ab}_{2v}$	$(u\geq v\geq 0)$
(8)	$\overbrace{ba\cdots ab}^{2u+1}$ $\underbrace{ab\cdots ba}_{2v+1}$	$\overbrace{ab\cdots ba}^{2u+3}$ $\underbrace{ba\cdots ab}_{2v-1}$	$(u\geq v\geq 1)$
(9)	$\overbrace{ba\cdots ab}^{2u+1}$ $ab\cdots ba$ $\underbrace{ab\cdots ba}_{2v+1}$	$\overbrace{ab\cdots ba}^{2u+3}$ $ba\cdots ba$ $\underbrace{ab\cdots ab}_{2v}$	$(u\geq v\geq 0)$
(10)	$\overbrace{ba\cdots ab}^{2u+1}$ $ba\cdots ab$ $\underbrace{ab\cdots ba}_{2v+1}$	$\overbrace{ba\cdots ba}^{2u+2}$ $ab\cdots ab$ $\underbrace{ba\cdots ab}_{2v-1}$	$(u\geq v\geq 1)$

(1) *For types* BDI *and* CI*, all the cover relations in Table 4 are of codimension one if and only if* T *has no rows of length between the longer length in* $\overline{T}$ *and the shorter length in* $\overline{T}$ *(exclusive).*
(2) *For types* CII *and* DIII*, the closure relations of codimension one are Case* (1) *of* CII *and Case* (1) *of* DIII *in Table 3 such that* T *has no rows of length between the longer length in* $\overline{T}$ *and the shorter length in* $\overline{T}$ *(exclusive).*

Lemma 5.3. *For symmetric pairs* (G, K) *of types* X = BDI, CI, CII, DIII*, two nilpotent* K*-orbits* $\mathbb{O}_T^K$ *and* $\mathbb{O}_{T'}^K$ $(T, T' \in \mathrm{SYD_X}(\lambda; p, q))$ *are adjacent in codimension one if and only if* $\overline{T}$ *and* $\overline{T'}$ *are of the following form, and* T *has no rows of length between the longer length in* $\overline{T}$ *and the shorter length in* $\overline{T}$ *(exclusive).*

BDI

$\overline{T}$	$\overline{T'}$	
$\overbrace{ba\cdots ab}^{2u+1}$ $\underbrace{ab\cdots ba}_{2v+1}$	$\overbrace{ab\cdots ba}^{2u+1}$ $\underbrace{ba\cdots ab}_{2v+1}$	$(u > v \geq 0)$

CI

$\overline{T}$	$\overline{T'}$	
$\overbrace{ba\cdots ba}^{2u}$ $\underbrace{ab\cdots ab}_{2v}$	$\overbrace{ab\cdots ab}^{2u}$ $\underbrace{ba\cdots ba}_{2v}$	$(u > v \geq 0)$

CII

$\overline{T}$	$\overline{T'}$	
$\overbrace{ab\cdots ba}^{2u+1}$ $ab\cdots ba$ $ba\cdots ab$ $\underbrace{ba\cdots ab}_{2v+1}$	$\overbrace{ba\cdots ab}^{2u+1}$ $ba\cdots ab$ $ab\cdots ba$ $\underbrace{ab\cdots ba}_{2v+1}$	$(u > v \geq 0)$

DIII

$\overline{T}$	$\overline{T'}$	
$\overbrace{ab\cdots ab}^{2u}$ $ab\cdots ab$ $ba\cdots ba$ $\underbrace{ba\cdots ba}_{2v}$	$\overbrace{ba\cdots ba}^{2u}$ $ba\cdots ba$ $ab\cdots ab$ $\underbrace{ab\cdots ab}_{2v}$	$(u > v \geq 0)$

Proof. For CII and DIII the assertion easily follows from Lemma 5.2 (2). For BDI and CI the assertion also follows from Lemma 5.2 (1), although we should mention that when $u = v + 1$ we use Case (1) of Table 4, and when where $u > v + 1$ we use Cases (3) and (8) of Table 4. □

From Lemma 5.3 we finally obtain the structure of the orbit graph.

Theorem 5.4 (Description of orbit graph)**.** *Let* X = BDI, CI, CII *or* DIII*. Let* $\lambda \in \mathrm{YD_X}(n)$ *be a partition, where* $n = p + q$ *is the size of the matrix group* G *given in Table 1* ($p = q$ *if* X = CI *or* DIII; p *and* q *are even if* X = CI)*. Then the orbit graph* $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$ *is described as follows. The vertices are*

$$\{\mathbb{O}_T^K \mid T \in \mathrm{SYD_X}(\lambda; p, q)\},$$

and for two vertices $\mathbb{O}_T^K$ *and* $\mathbb{O}_{T'}^K$*, there is an edge if and only if* $\pi(T) - \pi(T')$ *belongs to*

$$\{\pm(e_r - e_{r+1}) \mid 1 \le r \le k-1\} \cup \{\pm e_k\} \qquad \text{when } \mathrm{X} = \mathrm{BDI}, \mathrm{CI},$$
$$\{\pm 2(e_r - e_{r+1}) \mid 1 \le r \le k-1\} \cup \{\pm 2e_k\} \qquad \text{when } \mathrm{X} = \mathrm{CII}, \mathrm{DIII},$$

where $\pi : \mathrm{SYD}_{\mathrm{X}}(\lambda; p, q) \to \mathbb{R}^k$ *is the composite of the natural inclusion* $\mathrm{SYD}_{\mathrm{X}}(\lambda; p, q) \to \mathrm{SYD}(\lambda; p, q)$ *and* $\pi : \mathrm{SYD}(\lambda; p, q) \to \mathbb{R}^k$ *defined in* (4.2)*.*

Remark 5.5. The set of possible edges is a proper subset of the set of vectors listed in the above theorem. In fact, possible edges are $\pm(e_r - e_{r+1})$ with i_r and i_{r+1} odd for $\mathrm{X} = \mathrm{BDI}$, and $\pm(e_r - e_{r+1})$ with i_r and i_{r+1} even together with $\pm e_k$ if i_k is even for $\mathrm{X} = \mathrm{CI}$. For $\mathrm{X} = \mathrm{CII}$ and DIII, possible edges are twice the possible edges of BDI and CI, respectively.

5.2. **Induction of subgraphs.** We use the operation of removing two successive columns of the same length, and the induction g-ind of graphs, which are introduced in Subsection 4.3. It is easy to see that these two operations preserve the type X ($\mathrm{X} = \mathrm{BDI}, \mathrm{CI}, \mathrm{CII}, \mathrm{DIII}$) of the signed Young diagrams.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{SYD}(\lambda'; p', q') & \xrightarrow{\text{g-ind}} & \mathrm{SYD}(\lambda; p, q) \\ \cup & & \cup \\ \mathrm{SYD}_{\mathrm{X}}(\lambda'; p', q') & \xrightarrow{\text{g-ind}} & \mathrm{SYD}_{\mathrm{X}}(\lambda; p, q) \end{array}$$

We can conclude the following two lemmas by similar argument as in the case of AIII.

Lemma 5.6. *Let* $\mathrm{X} = \mathrm{BDI}, \mathrm{CI}, \mathrm{CII}$ *or* DIII*, and* $\lambda \in \mathrm{YD}_{\mathrm{X}}(n)$*. Let* $\lambda' \in \mathrm{YD}_{\mathrm{X}}(n-2h)$ *be the Young diagram obtained by removing successive two columns of the same height* h*. Then the number of connected components of* $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$ *coincides with that of* $\Gamma_{K'}(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda'}^{G'})$*, where* (G, K) *and* (G', K') *are as follows.*

	(G, K)	(G', K')
BDI	$(O_{p+q}, O_p \times O_q)$	$(O_{p+q-2h}, O_{p-h} \times O_{q-h})$
CI	(Sp_{2p}, GL_p)	(Sp_{2p-2h}, GL_{p-h})
CII	$(Sp_{p+q}, Sp_p \times Sp_q)$	$(Sp_{p+q-2h}, Sp_{p-h} \times Sp_{q-h})$
DIII	(O_{2p}, GL_p)	(O_{2p-2h}, GL_{p-h})

In the above lemma, in the case of type CII or DIII, the length h of the removed columns is always even since all parts of λ occur with even multiplicity (see § 3.2 and [Tr05, Proposition 2.2]).

Lemma 5.7. *Let λ and λ' be as above, and we use the induction* g-ind. *If Z' is a connected component of $\Gamma_{K'}(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda'}^{G'})$, then* g-ind$(Z')$ *is a connected component of $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$. This correspondence establishes a bijection between the connected component of $\Gamma_{K'}(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda'}^{G'})$ and those of $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$.*

5.3. **Number of connected components.** To answer Problem 2.2 (2), as in the case of AIII, it suffices to consider the Young diagram with columns of different lengths thanks to Lemma 5.6.

Theorem 5.8. *Let* $\mathrm{X} = \mathrm{BDI}, \mathrm{CI}, \mathrm{CII}$ *or* DIII. *Let $\lambda \in \mathrm{YD}_{\mathrm{X}}(n)$, and $n = p + q$ (we put $p = q$ if* $\mathrm{X} = \mathrm{CI}$ *or* DIII; *p and q are even if* $\mathrm{X} = \mathrm{CII}$*).*

(1) *The orbit graph $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$ consists of a single vertex if and only if*
- *For* $\mathrm{X} = \mathrm{BDI}, \mathrm{CII}$*; the number of odd parts in λ is equal to $p - q$, or odd parts in λ have the same length.*
- *For* $\mathrm{X} = \mathrm{CI}, \mathrm{DIII}$*; the parts in λ are all odd.*

(2) *Let us assume that there are at least two vertices in $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$. Then the orbit graph $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$ has no edges if and only if*
- *each column length h of λ occurs odd times, or occurs even times and λ_h is even, when* $\mathrm{X} = \mathrm{BDI}, \mathrm{CII}$.
- *each column length h of λ occurs odd times, or occurs even times and λ_h is odd, when* $\mathrm{X} = \mathrm{CI}, \mathrm{DIII}$.

In particular, if λ has distinct column lengths, then $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$ has no edge.

(3) *Assume that λ has distinct column lengths. In this case the number of the connected components (i.e., the number of vertices) of the orbit graph $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$ is given by*

$$\prod_{\substack{1\le s\le m\\ \lambda_{k_s}:\,odd}} (1 + t + \cdots + t^{k_s - k_{s-1}})\Big|_{t^d} \qquad (\mathrm{X} = \mathrm{BDI}), \tag{5.1}$$

$$\prod_{\substack{1\le s\le m\\ \lambda_{k_s}:\,even}} (1 + k_s - k_{s-1}) \qquad (\mathrm{X} = \mathrm{CI}), \tag{5.2}$$

$$\prod_{\substack{1\le s\le m\\ \lambda_{k_s}:\,odd}} (1 + t + \cdots + t^{(k_s - k_{s-1})/2})\Big|_{t^{d/2}} \qquad (\mathrm{X} = \mathrm{CII}), \tag{5.3}$$

$$\prod_{\substack{1\le s\le m\\ \lambda_{k_s}:\,even}} (1 + \frac{k_s - k_{s-1}}{2}) \qquad (\mathrm{X} = \mathrm{DIII}), \tag{5.4}$$

where $k_0 = 0 < k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_m$ are the (distinct) column lengths of λ, $f(t)\big|_{t^d}$ denotes the coefficient of t^d, and d is the number given by

$$d := \frac{p - q + \#(\textit{odd parts of } \lambda)}{2}. \tag{5.5}$$

The number d is always an integer if $\mathrm{SYD}_{\mathrm{X}}(\lambda; p, q)$ is non-empty, and is an even integer if $\mathrm{SYD}_{\mathrm{CII}}(\lambda; p, q)$ is non-empty.

Proof. (1) By the description of primitives of the signed Young diagrams we get the desired conditions. Note that there is a unique filling of $+/-$ signs for a pair of even (respectively odd) parts in the case of $\mathrm{X} = \mathrm{BDI}$ or CII (respectively $\mathrm{X} = \mathrm{CI}$ or DIII).

(2) By the condition for two K-orbits to be adjacent in codimension one (Lemma 5.3), we immediately have the assertion.

(3) Using the forms of primitives in Table 2 together with the condition on the number of signs, we have the desired formula as in the case of AIII. We omit the details. □

From this theorem the condition for an orbit graph to be connected is immediate.

Corollary 5.9. *Under the same notation as in Theorem 5.8, an orbit graph $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$ is connected if and only if*

- (BDI, CII) *there exists $0 \le r \le s \le \ell = \ell(\lambda)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \textit{ are even, } \lambda_{r+1}, \dots, \lambda_s \textit{ are odd,} \\ &\textit{and } \lambda_{s+1}, \dots, \lambda_\ell \textit{ are even,} \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

  or the number of odd parts in λ coincides with $|p - q|$.
- (CI, DIII) *there exists $0 \le r \le \ell = \ell(\lambda)$ such that*

$$\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \textit{ are odd, and } \lambda_{r+1}, \dots, \lambda_\ell \textit{ are even.} \tag{5.7}$$

Proof. We give the proof for $\mathrm{X} = \mathrm{CI}$ and BDI, and the proofs are similar when $\mathrm{X} = \mathrm{CII}$ and DIII.

Suppose that $\mathrm{X} = \mathrm{CI}$, and λ has distinct column lengths. Equation (5.2) is equal to one if and only if the product is empty. This means that $m \le 1$, and λ has at most one column.

For any $\lambda \in \mathrm{YD}_{\mathrm{CI}}(n)$, we use the operation of removing successive two columns of the same length. By repeating this operation λ is reduced to a diagram with distinct column lengths, and the numbers of connected components of the corresponding orbit graphs are equal. Diagrams $\lambda \in \mathrm{YD}_{\mathrm{CI}}(n)$ which are reduced to diagrams with at most one column are of the form (5.7).

Next suppose that $\mathrm{X} = \mathrm{BDI}$, and λ has distinct column lengths. Equation (5.1) is equal to one if and only if the product has at most

one factor, or $d = 0$ or equal to the highest degree of the polynomial (5.1), namely the number of odd parts of λ.

The first condition is equivalent to $m \leq 2$, namely, λ has at most two columns. We again use the operation of removing columns, and diagrams $\lambda \in \mathrm{YD}_{\mathrm{BDI}}(n)$ which are reduced to diagrams with at most two columns are of the form (5.6). The second condition is equivalent to $\#(\text{odd parts of } \lambda) = |p - q|$.

Thus we obtain the desired condition. □

6. Associated varieties of Harish-Chandra modules

Let us consider Problems 2.2 (4) and (5) in this section for the symmetric pair of type AIII.

We write $GL_n = GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, and put

$$\begin{aligned} G &= GL_{p+q} = GL_n \ \ (n = p+q), & K &= GL_p \times GL_q, \\ \mathfrak{g} &= \mathfrak{gl}_{p+q} = \mathfrak{gl}_n, & \mathfrak{k} &= \mathfrak{gl}_p \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_q, \\ \mathfrak{s} &= \mathrm{Mat}(p,q;\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathrm{Mat}(q,p;\mathbb{C}). \end{aligned}$$

We consider a real form $G_{\mathbb{R}} = U(p,q)$ of G, an indefinite unitary group of signature (p,q), and $K_{\mathbb{R}} = U(p) \times U(q)$ a maximal compact subgroup. Then (G,K) is the complexification of the Riemannian symmetric pair $(G_{\mathbb{R}}, K_{\mathbb{R}})$. Roughly saying, finitely generated admissible representations of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ can be understood once we know completely about Harish-Chandra $(\mathfrak{g},K)$-modules.

The main subject in this section is a Harish-Chandra $(\mathfrak{g},K)$-module X and its associated graph $\mathcal{AV}^{\Gamma}(X)$ (see Introduction for definition). The goal is the following theorem.

Theorem 6.1. *Consider the symmetric pair $(G,K) = (GL_n, GL_p \times GL_q)$ $(n = p+q)$ associated with $G_{\mathbb{R}} = U(p,q)$. Let $\mathcal{O}^G$ be a nilpotent G-orbit in $\mathfrak{g}$.*

(1) *If the orbit graph $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}^G)$ is connected, then there exists an irreducible Harish-Chandra $(\mathfrak{g},K)$-module X which satisfies $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}^G) = \mathcal{AV}^{\Gamma}(X)$. Namely, the associated variety is $\mathcal{AV}(X) = \overline{\mathcal{O}^G \cap \mathfrak{s}}$ for this Harish-Chandra module.*

(2) *More generally, for any connected component $Z \subset \Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}^G)$, there exists an irreducible Harish-Chandra $(\mathfrak{g},K)$-module X such that $Z = \mathcal{AV}^{\Gamma}(X)$.*

In Case (1)*, we can choose X as an irreducible degenerate principal series representation, and in Case* (2)*, X can be chosen as a parabolic induction from a certain derived functor module, which we will describe explicitly below.*

Remark 6.2. (1) For an even nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O}^G$, the orbit graph $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}^G)$ is connected (Corollary 4.17), and the claim (1) of Theorem 6.1 holds by [Nis09]. See also [BB99] and [MT07].

(2) In general, the containment $\overline{\mathcal{O}^G \cap \mathfrak{s}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}^G} \cap \mathfrak{s}$ is strict even for an even nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O}^G$. See [Nis09, Remark 4.3].

In the rest of this section, we prove Theorem 6.1. The proof is divided into several subsections.

6.1. Let us recall λ and λ' in §4.3. We mainly keep the notation in §4.3 in this subsection. Thus we remove two columns of the same length h from λ and obtain λ'. Put

$$n' = n - 2h, \quad (p', q') = (p - h, q - h)$$

as before. Let us consider a real parabolic subgroup $P_{\mathbb{R}}$ of $G_{\mathbb{R}} = U(p, q)$, whose Levi part is

$$L_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq U(p', q') \times GL_h(\mathbb{C}).$$

We realize $P_{\mathbb{R}}$ in the following way. Let $\{e_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}^n$, and we denote an indefinite Hermitian form $(,)$ by

$$(u, v) = {}^t\overline{u} I_{p,q} v \quad (u, v \in \mathbb{C}^n), \qquad \text{where } I_{p,q} = \begin{pmatrix} 1_p & \\ & -1_q \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ is realized as a matrix group which preserves the Hermitian form $(,)$.

$$G_{\mathbb{R}} = U(p, q) = \{g \in GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid {}^t\overline{g} I_{p,q} g = I_{p,q}\}$$

It is easy to see that a subspace $V_h^{\pm} = \langle e_i \pm e_{n-i+1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq h \rangle$ is totally isotropic with respect to $(,)$. Then the parabolic subgroup

$$P_{\mathbb{R}} = \{g \in U(p, q) \mid g(V_h^+) = V_h^+\}$$

satisfies our requirement. In fact a Levi subgroup $L_{\mathbb{R}}$ is given by

$$L_{\mathbb{R}} = \{g \in U(p, q) \mid g(V_h^{\pm}) = V_h^{\pm}\}.$$

If we put $W_{p',q'} = (V_h^+ \oplus V_h^-)^{\perp}$, the orthogonal complement of $V_h^+ \oplus V_h^-$ with respect to $(,)$, then $L_{\mathbb{R}}$ clearly preserves $W_{p',q'}$ and

$$L_{\mathbb{R}} \ni g \mapsto (g\big|_{W_{p',q'}}, g\big|_{V_h^+}) \in U(p', q') \times GL_h(\mathbb{C})$$

gives an isomorphism. Note that the Hermitian form $(,)$ restricted to $W_{p',q'}$ has the signature (p', q').

For $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$ and a (possibly infinite dimensional) admissible representation π' of $G'_{\mathbb{R}} = U(p', q')$, let $\pi'(\nu)$ be an admissible representation of $L_{\mathbb{R}}$ defined by

$$\pi'(\nu)(g) = \left|\det(g\big|_{V_h^+})\right|^{\nu} \pi'(g\big|_{W_{p',q'}}).$$

We extend it to $P_{\mathbb{R}}$ in such a way that $\pi'(\nu)$ is trivial on the unipotent radical, and denote it by the same notation $\pi'(\nu)$. We define

$$I(\pi';\nu) = I_{P_{\mathbb{R}}}^{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\pi';\nu) := \mathrm{Ind}_{P_{\mathbb{R}}}^{G_{\mathbb{R}}} \pi'(\nu);$$

here induction is normalized as in [Kn86, Chapter VII]. Assume that π' is an irreducible representation of $G'_{\mathbb{R}}$ and the associated variety of its primitive ideal is $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\lambda'}^{G'}}$.

Lemma 6.3. *For a generic $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$, the standard module $I_{P_{\mathbb{R}}}^{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\pi';\nu)$ is irreducible, and we have*

$$\mathcal{AV}^{\Gamma}(I_{P_{\mathbb{R}}}^{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\pi';\nu)) = \text{g-ind}_{(G',K')}^{(G,K)}(\mathcal{AV}^{\Gamma}(\pi')).$$

In particular, if $\mathcal{AV}^{\Gamma}(\pi')$ is a connected graph, $\mathcal{AV}^{\Gamma}(I_{P_{\mathbb{R}}}^{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\pi';\nu))$ is also connected.

Proof. The irreducibility statement is well-known (e.g [Kn86, Remark 1, page 174]). For the remainder, we sketch two proofs. The first is essentially analytic (but uses the difficult results of [SV00] to pass from the analytic invariant of wave front set to associated varieties). The second is essentially algebraic (but uses the difficult results of [KnV96, Chapter XI] to rewrite parabolically induced representations as cohomologically induced instead).

For the first sketch we begin with a few generalities. (The results of the next two paragraphs hold in the generality of any real reductive group $G_{\mathbb{R}}$.) Let $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$ denote the nilpotent cone of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Given a finite-length representation π of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ on a Hilbert space, we let $\mathrm{WF}(\pi) \subset \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$ denote its wavefront set in the sense of Howe [Ho79]. (The wavefront set is most naturally defined as a subset of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$; here and elsewhere we identify $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ with $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$ by means of an invariant form. Since $\mathrm{WF}(\pi)$ and the other invariants we consider are invariant under scaling, the choice of form does not matter.) According to [Ro95, Theorem C], $\mathrm{WF}(\pi)$ coincides with $\mathrm{AS}(\pi)$ as defined by Barbasch-Vogan [BV80]. Moreover, [Ro95, Theorem D] implies that if π is assumed to be irreducible, then there are $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ orbits $\mathbb{O}_1^{G_{\mathbb{R}}}, \dots, \mathbb{O}_\ell^{G_{\mathbb{R}}}$ (each of which generate the same G-orbit $\mathcal{O}^G$) such that

$$\mathrm{WF}(\pi) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \overline{\mathbb{O}_i^{G_{\mathbb{R}}}}.$$

Finally, write $\mathbb{O}_i^K$ for the K orbit corresponding to $\mathbb{O}_i^{G_\mathbb{R}}$ via the Sekiguchi correspondence (e.g. [CM93, Chapter 9]). Then Schmid and Vilonen [SV00] prove that

$$\mathcal{AV}(\pi) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \overline{\mathbb{O}_i^K}. \tag{6.1}$$

Now suppose π is of the form $\mathrm{Ind}_{P_\mathbb{R}}^{G_\mathbb{R}}(\pi')$ for an irreducible admissible representation π' of $L_\mathbb{R}$. Using the inclusion $\mathfrak{l}_\mathbb{R}$ into $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R}$, regard $\mathrm{WF}(\pi')$ as a subset of $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R}$. We claim

$$\mathrm{WF}(\pi) = G_\mathbb{R} \cdot (\mathrm{WF}(\pi') + \mathfrak{n}_\mathbb{R}), \tag{6.2}$$

where $\mathfrak{n}_\mathbb{R}$ denotes the Lie algebra of the nilradical $N_\mathbb{R}$ of $P_\mathbb{R}$. According to [Ro95, Theorem C] mentioned above, the assertion is equivalent to

$$\mathrm{AS}(\pi) = G_\mathbb{R} \cdot (\mathrm{AS}(\pi') + \mathfrak{n}_\mathbb{R}). \tag{6.3}$$

Under a technical positivity hypothesis stated two sentences after [BV80, Equation (3)], (6.3) is proved in [BV80, Theorem 3.5]. The positivity hypothesis may be verified as follows. The construction of [BV80] assigns a real number to each irreducible component of $\mathrm{AS}(\pi)$. (Because there is no need to normalize measures carefully in [BV80], these real numbers are defined only up to positive scaling. Hence only their signs are well-defined in [BV80].) The crucial positivity hypothesis is that all of these numbers are positive. Meanwhile, after normalizing measures carefully, Rossmann interprets these real numbers in [Ro95, Theorems B-C] as integrals over certain Lagrangian cycles. The cycles are made somewhat more explicit in the work of Schmid-Vilonen [SV98], where their positivity becomes apparent. (In fact, in [SV00] they are shown to coincide with the positive integers appearing in the associated cycle of π (in the sense of [Vog91]).) Thus the positivity hypothesis always holds. Hence so does (6.3) (and equivalently (6.2)). As mentioned around (6.1), the main results of [SV00] then allows us to interpret the assertion of (6.2) as a computation of associated varieties.

Return to the setting of the lemma. Suppose we are given a nilpotent K' orbit $\mathbb{O}^{K'}$ parametrized by $T' \in \mathrm{SYD}(\lambda'; p', q')$. Let $\mathbb{O}^{G'_\mathbb{R}}$ denote the nilpotent $G'_\mathbb{R}$ orbit corresponding to $\mathbb{O}^{K'}$ via the Sekiguchi correspondence. Consider

$$G_\mathbb{R} \cdot \left(\overline{\mathbb{O}^{G'_\mathbb{R}}} + \mathfrak{n}_\mathbb{R} \right).$$

This is a closed $G_\mathbb{R}$-invariant set of nilpotent elements. Hence it may be written as

$$\overline{\mathbb{O}_1^{G_\mathbb{R}}} \cup \cdots \cup \overline{\mathbb{O}_\ell^{G_\mathbb{R}}}$$

for nilpotent $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ orbits $\mathbb{O}_i^{G_{\mathbb{R}}}$, each of which is parametrized by a signed Young diagram T_i of signature (p,q). By the discussion around (6.1) and (6.2), the lemma amounts to establishing $\{T_1, \cdots, T_\ell\}$ is obtained from T' by the procedure described before Lemma 4.14. This is a direct calculation whose details we shall omit.

We next turn to the second approach to proving the lemma. As remarked above, the main point is to rewrite $I_{P_{\mathbb{R}}}^{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\pi';\nu)$ as a cohomologically induced representation. To get started, fix a θ-stable parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l}^{\mathfrak{q}} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that

$$\mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathfrak{q}} := \mathfrak{l}^{\mathfrak{q}} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{l}^{\mathfrak{q}}} \simeq \mathfrak{u}(p',q') \oplus \mathfrak{u}(h,h).$$

Let $L_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathfrak{q}}$ denote the analytic subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathfrak{q}}$, and set $S = \dim(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{k})$. Of course $L_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathfrak{q}} \simeq G'_{\mathbb{R}} \times G''_{\mathbb{R}}$ with $G'_{\mathbb{R}} = U(p',q')$ as above and $G''_{\mathbb{R}} = U(h,h)$. Fix a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{l}^{\mathfrak{q}}$ (and hence of $\mathfrak{g}$), write $\Delta(\mathfrak{u}) \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ for the roots of $\mathfrak{h}$ in $\mathfrak{u}$, and let $\delta_{\mathfrak{u}}$ denote the half-sum of the elements of $\Delta(\mathfrak{u})$. We follow the notation of [KnV96, Chapter 5]. In particular, given a $(\mathfrak{l}^{\mathfrak{q}}, L^{\mathfrak{q}} \cap K)$ module $\pi' \boxtimes \pi''$, we may form the cohomologically induced $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ module $\mathcal{L}_S(\pi' \boxtimes \pi'')$.

Next let $P''_{\mathbb{R}}$ denote a real parabolic subgroup of $G''_{\mathbb{R}}$ with Levi factor $GL(h,\mathbb{C})$. Fix $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$ as in the statement of the lemma and extend the character $|\det|^\nu$ of $GL(h,\mathbb{C})$ trivially to the nilradical of $P''_{\mathbb{R}}$. Set

$$\pi'' := \mathrm{Ind}_{P''_{\mathbb{R}}}^{G''_{\mathbb{R}}}(|\det|^\nu), \tag{6.4}$$

where again the induction is normalized. We may choose ν so that

(a) π'' is irreducible; and
(b) if $\chi \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ denotes (a representative of) the infinitesimal character of $\pi' \boxtimes \pi''$, then

$$\mathrm{Re}\,(\alpha^\vee(\chi + \delta_{\mathfrak{u}})) > 0 \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{u}).$$

(In the terminology of [KnV96, Definition 0.49], χ is said to be in the good range for $\mathfrak{q}$.)

With such a choice of ν fixed, our main technical claim is as follows:

$$I_{P_{\mathbb{R}}}^{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\pi';\nu) = \mathcal{L}_S(\pi' \boxtimes \pi''). \tag{6.5}$$

To prove this, we compute the Langlands (quotient) parameters of both sides. For the left-hand side, we may assume the Langlands parameters of π' are given. They consist of a cuspidal parabolic subgroup $M'_{\mathbb{R}} A'_{\mathbb{R}} N'_{\mathbb{R}}$ of $G'_{\mathbb{R}}$, a discrete series or limit of discrete series representations ξ' of $M'_{\mathbb{R}}$, and a suitably positive character η' of $A'_{\mathbb{R}}$. See for example the discussion at the beginning of [KnV96, XI.9]. In the notation of Knapp-Vogan, let $I'(\xi',\eta')$ denote the standard continuous representation of

$G'_{\mathbb{R}}$ parametrized by $M'_{\mathbb{R}}A'_{\mathbb{R}}N'_{\mathbb{R}}$, ξ', and η'. By construction, we have a surjection

$$I'(\xi', \eta') \longrightarrow \pi'. \tag{6.6}$$

Next we consider the Langlands parameters for the character $|\det|^\nu$ of $GL(h, \mathbb{C})$. Of course this is well-known (see, for example, [K94, Theorem 4]). The parameters consist of a Borel subgroup $M^{GL}_{\mathbb{R}}A^{GL}_{\mathbb{R}}N^{GL}_{\mathbb{R}}$ of $GL(h, \mathbb{C})$, a character of ξ^{GL} of $M^{GL}_{\mathbb{R}}$, and an appropriately positive character η^{GL} of $A_{\mathbb{R}}$. In the obvious notation, we have a surjection

$$I^{GL}(\xi^{GL}, \eta^{GL}) \longrightarrow |\det|^\nu. \tag{6.7}$$

We can combine these two Langlands parameters to get a Langlands parameter for $G_{\mathbb{R}}$: there exists a cuspidal parabolic subgroup $M_{\mathbb{R}}A_{\mathbb{R}}N_{\mathbb{R}}$ of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ whose intersection with $G'_{\mathbb{R}}$ is $M'_{\mathbb{R}}A'_{\mathbb{R}}N'_{\mathbb{R}}$ and whose intersection with $GL(h, \mathbb{C})$ is $M^{GL}_{\mathbb{R}}A^{GL}_{\mathbb{R}}N^{GL}_{\mathbb{R}}$. In this case

$$M_{\mathbb{R}} = M'_{\mathbb{R}} \times M^{GL}_{\mathbb{R}}$$
$$A_{\mathbb{R}} = A'_{\mathbb{R}} \times A^{GL}_{\mathbb{R}},$$

and so we can form $\xi = \xi' \boxtimes \xi^{GL}$ and $\eta = \eta' \boxtimes \eta^{GL}$. Then $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ can be chosen so that $(M_{\mathbb{R}}A_{\mathbb{R}}N_{\mathbb{R}}, \xi, \eta)$ is a quotient Langlands parameter for $G_{\mathbb{R}}$. If we let $I(\xi, \eta)$ denote the corresponding standard continuous representation of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$, then (6.6), (6.7), and induction in stages give a surjection

$$I(\xi, \eta) \longrightarrow I^{G_{\mathbb{R}}}_{P_{\mathbb{R}}}(\pi'; \nu), \tag{6.8}$$

the image of which we have assumed is irreducible. Thus the triplet $(M_{\mathbb{R}}A_{\mathbb{R}}N_{\mathbb{R}}, \xi, \eta)$ is indeed a quotient Langlands parameter for the induced representation $I^{G_{\mathbb{R}}}_{P_{\mathbb{R}}}(\pi'; \nu)$, the left-hand side of (6.5).

The more difficult part of the argument is computing the Langlands parameters of right-hand side of (6.5). Fortunately [KnV96, Theorem 11.216] explains how to compute the Langlands parameters of $\mathcal{L}_S(\pi' \boxtimes \pi'')$ in terms of those for π' and π''. (To apply this theorem, we need the "good range" hypothesis detailed in item (b) above.) We may assume, as above, that we are given the Langlands parameters of π'. To compute the Langlands parameters of π'', note that $M^{GL}_{\mathbb{R}}A^{GL}_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq (\mathbb{C}^\times)^h$ is the Levi factor of a cuspidal parabolic subgroup $M''_{\mathbb{R}}A''_{\mathbb{R}}N''_{\mathbb{R}}$ of $G''_{\mathbb{R}}$. Thus if we set $\xi'' = \xi^{GL}$ and $\eta'' = \eta^{GL}$, we can choose $N''_{\mathbb{R}}$ so that $(M''_{\mathbb{R}}A''_{\mathbb{R}}N''_{\mathbb{R}}, \xi'', \eta'')$ is a Langlands parameter for $G''_{\mathbb{R}}$. Moreover, induction in stages and (6.7) imply that there is a surjection

$$I''(\xi'', \eta'') \longrightarrow \pi'',$$

the image of which is irreducible by hypothesis. So $(M''_{\mathbb{R}}A''_{\mathbb{R}}N''_{\mathbb{R}}, \xi'', \eta'')$ is indeed a Langlands quotient parameter for π''. Then Theorem [KnV96,

Theorem 11.216] gives that the Langlands parameters of the right-hand side of (6.5) are exactly those of the left-hand side computed above. Thus (6.5) follows.

Given (6.5), we can complete the second proof of the lemma relatively easily. The first ingredient is to apply a general result about associated varieties of derived functor modules to the right-hand side of (6.5),

$$\mathcal{AV}\left(\mathcal{L}_S(\pi' \boxtimes \pi'')\right) = K \cdot \left(\mathcal{AV}(\pi' \boxtimes \pi'') + (\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{s})\right). \tag{6.9}$$

(In the case that $\pi' \boxtimes \pi''$ is one-dimensional, a well-known argument is sketched in the introduction to [Tr05]; the general case follows in much the same way.) The next ingredient is the computation of $\mathcal{AV}(\pi'')$: [Nis09, Corollary 5.4] proves that $\mathcal{AV}(\pi'')$ consists of the closures of the $h+1$ nilpotent orbits for $U(h,h)$ whose shape consists of h rows of two boxes. Finally, Proposition 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 of [Tr05] explain how to compute the right-hand side of (6.9) in terms of signed Young diagrams. Combined with (6.5), the result is that $\mathcal{AV}(I_{P_{\mathbb{R}}}^{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\pi';\nu))$ consists of the closures of the K orbits parametrized by the signed Young diagrams obtained from those parameterizing the irreducible components of $\mathcal{AV}(\pi')$ by the procedure described before Lemma 4.14. This completes the second proof of the lemma. □

6.2. Now let us consider the first claim of Theorem 6.1, so we assume that $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$ is connected. Then, by Corollary 4.16, if we remove two columns of the same length from λ repeatedly, finally we reach λ' of a diagram with only one column (possibly λ' is an empty diagram). Thus we have

$$\lambda = \lambda' + \sum_{i=1}^{t} (2^{h_i}),$$

for some h_i's. Put

$$h = \sum_{i=1}^{t} h_i, \quad n' = n - 2h, \quad (p', q') = (p - h, q - h).$$

Note that the notation λ', p', q' etc. is used in slightly different way from the former subsection. With these notations, we have $\lambda' = (1^{n'})$ ($n' \geq 0$) and if $n' = 0$, it means that λ' is an empty diagram.

Let us consider a real parabolic subgroup $P_{\mathbb{R}}$ of $G_{\mathbb{R}} = U(p,q)$ with Levi part

$$L_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq U(p', q') \times GL_{h_1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \cdots \times GL_{h_t}(\mathbb{C}). \tag{6.10}$$

The construction of $P_{\mathbb{R}}$ is similar to that in the former subsection. In fact, we simply repeat the procedure in §6.1 t-times. Now consider a

character χ_ν of $L_{\mathbb{R}}$ with a parameter $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_t) \in \mathbb{C}^t$ defined by

$$\chi_\nu(g) = \prod_{i=1}^{t} \left|\det g_i\right|^{\nu_i},$$

where $g_i \in GL_{h_i}(\mathbb{C})$ is the GL_{h_i}-component of $g \in L_{\mathbb{R}}$ under the isomorphism (6.10).

Let $I(\nu) = I_{P_{\mathbb{R}}}^{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\nu)$ be a degenerate principal series defined by

$$I(\nu) := \mathrm{Ind}_{P_{\mathbb{R}}}^{G_{\mathbb{R}}} \chi_\nu,$$

where χ_ν is extended to a character of $P_{\mathbb{R}}$ which is trivial on the unipotent radical. Then we have

Lemma 6.4. *For a generic $\nu \in \mathbb{C}^t$, the degenerate principal series $I(\nu) = I_{P_{\mathbb{R}}}^{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\nu)$ is irreducible, and we have*

$$\mathcal{AV}^{\Gamma}(I(\nu)) = \Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G).$$

Proof. We use Lemma 6.3 repeatedly, and conclude that

$$\mathcal{AV}^{\Gamma}(I(\nu)) = \text{g-ind}_{(G',K')}^{(G,K)}(\{T'\}),$$

where T' is the unique signed Young diagram in $\mathrm{SYD}(\lambda'; p', q')$ with a single column (possibly an empty diagram). By Lemma 4.14, we have g-ind$(\{T'\}) = \Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$ since the right hand side is a connected graph. □

6.3. Let us consider a general partition λ of size n. If we remove two columns of the same length successively from λ, finally we obtain λ' with distinct column length. By Theorem 4.15, $\Gamma_{K'}(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda'}^{G'})$ is totally disconnected and individual $\mathbb{O}_{T'}^{K'}$ constitutes a connected component of the orbit graph. Thus, by Lemma 4.14, g-ind$(\{\mathbb{O}_{T'}^{K'}\})$ $(T' \in \mathrm{SYD}(\lambda'; p', q'))$ exhausts connected components of $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$. By a result of Barbasch-Vogan [BV83], there exists a derived functor module $\pi_{T'}$ of $G'_{\mathbb{R}}$ with the associated variety

$$\mathcal{AV}(\pi_{T'}) = \overline{\mathbb{O}_{T'}^{K'}}.$$

We construct a real parabolic $P_{\mathbb{R}}$ of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ just as in the former subsection §6.2, and define an admissible representation $\pi_{T'}(\nu)$ $(\nu \in \mathbb{C}^t)$ of the Levi subgroup $L_{\mathbb{R}}$ by

$$\pi_{T'}(\nu)(g) = \prod_{i=1}^{t} \left|\det(g_i)\right|^{\nu_i} \cdot \pi_{T'}(g_0),$$

where

$$g = (g_0; g_1, \dots, g_t) \in U(p', q') \times GL_{h_1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \cdots \times GL_{h_t}(\mathbb{C}) = L_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Let us consider a standard module

$$I(\pi_{T'};\nu) = I_{P_{\mathbb{R}}}^{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\pi_{T'};\nu) := \mathrm{Ind}_{P_{\mathbb{R}}}^{G_{\mathbb{R}}} \pi_{T'}(\nu).$$

Lemma 6.5. *With the above notations, the associated graph*

$$\mathcal{AV}^{\Gamma}(I(\pi_{T'};\nu)) = \text{g-ind}(\{T'\})$$

is a connected component of $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$*, and these associated graphs exhaust connected components of the orbit graph* $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$*.*

Proof. By repeated use of Lemma 6.3, we obtain the first equality $\mathcal{AV}^{\Gamma}(I(\pi_{T'};\nu)) = \text{g-ind}(\{T'\})$. The right hand side is connected and it gives a bijection from $\mathrm{SYD}(\lambda';p',q')$ to the connected components of the orbit graph $\Gamma_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda^G)$ by Lemma 4.14. □

References

[BB99] Dan Barbasch and Mladen Božičević, *The associated variety of an induced representation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1999), no. 1, 279–288. MR 1458862 (99b:22024)

[BC77] N. Burgoyne and R. Cushman, *Conjugacy classes in linear groups*, J. Algebra **44** (1977), no. 2, 339–362. MR 0432778 (55 #5761)

[BV80] Dan Barbasch and David Vogan, *The local structure of characters*, J. Func. Anal. **37** (1980), 27–55. MR 0576644 (82e:22024)

[BV83] ———, *Weyl group representations and nilpotent orbits*, Representation theory of reductive groups (Park City, Utah, 1982), Progr. Math., vol. 40, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1983, pp. 21–33. MR 733804 (85g:22025)

[CM93] David H. Collingwood and William M. McGovern, *Nilpotent orbits in semisimple Lie algebras*, Van Nostrand Reinhold Mathematics Series, Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., New York, 1993. MR 1251060 (94j:17001)

[Djo82] Dragomir Ž. Djoković, *Closures of conjugacy classes in classical real linear Lie groups. II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **270** (1982), no. 1, 217–252. MR 642339 (84f:22016)

[Hel78] Sigurdur Helgason, *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 80, Academic Press Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], New York, 1978. MR 514561 (80k:53081)

[Ho79] Roger Howe, *Wave front sets of representations of Lie groups*, Automorphic forms, representation theory and arithmetic (Bombay, 1979), Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Studies in Math., vol. 10, Tata Inst. Fundamental Res., Bombay, 1981, 117–140. MR 0633659 (83c:22014)

[K94] A. W. Knapp, *Local Langlands correspondence: the Archimedean case*, Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 55, AMS, Providence, RI, 1994, 393–410. MR 1265560 (95d:11066)

[Kn86] Anthony W. Knapp, *Representations of Semisimple Lie Groups: An Overview Based on Examples*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 36, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1986. MR 855239 (87j:22022)

[KnV96] Anthony W. Knapp, David A. Vogan, Jr., *Cohomological Induction and Unitary Representations*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 45, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1995. MR 1330919 (96c:22023)

[KP79] Hanspeter Kraft and Claudio Procesi, *Closures of conjugacy classes of matrices are normal*, Invent. Math. **53** (1979), no. 3, 227–247. MR 549399 (80m:14037)

[KR71] B. Kostant and S. Rallis, *Orbits and representations associated with symmetric spaces*, Amer. J. Math. **93** (1971), 753–809. MR 0311837 (47 #399)

[MT07] Hisayosi Matumoto and Peter E. Trapa, *Derived functor modules arising as large irreducible constituents of degenerate principal series*, Compos. Math. **143** (2007), no. 1, 222–256. MR 2295203 (2008f:22013)

[Nis09] K. Nishiyama, *Asymptotic cone of semisimple orbits for symmetric pairs*, Adv. Math., **226** (2011), 4338–4351.

[Oht86] Takuya Ohta, *The singularities of the closures of nilpotent orbits in certain symmetric pairs*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **38** (1986), no. 3, 441–468. MR 854462 (88k:32077)

[Oht91] ———, *The closures of nilpotent orbits in the classical symmetric pairs and their singularities*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **43** (1991), no. 2, 161–211. MR 1104427 (93c:22036)

[Ro95] Wolf Rossmann, *Picard-Lefschetz theory and characters of a semisimple Lie group*. Invent. Math., **121** (1995), 570–611. MR 1353309 (96j:22017)

[SV98] Wilfried Schmid and Kari Vilonen, *T*wo geometric character formulas for reductive Lie groups, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **11** (1998), no. 4, 799–867. MR 1612634 (2000g:22020)

[SV00] ———, *Characteristic cycles and wave front cycles of representations of reductive Lie groups*, Ann. of Math. (2), **151** (2000), 1071–1118. MR 1779564 (2001j:22017)

[Tr05] Peter E. Trapa, *Richardson orbits for real classical groups*, J. Algebra, **286** (2005), no. 2, 361–385. MR 2128022 (2006g:22012)

[Vog91] David A. Vogan, Jr., *Associated varieties and unipotent representations*, Harmonic analysis on reductive groups (Brunswick, ME, 1989), Progr. Math., vol. 101, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1991, pp. 315–388. MR 1168491 (93k:22012)

Department of Physics and Mathematics, Aoyama Gakuin University, Fuchinobe 5-10-1, Sagamihara 252-5258, Japan

E-mail address: kyo@gem.aoyama.ac.jp

Department of Mathematics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, USA

E-mail address: ptrapa@math.utah.edu

Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University of Education, Shiroyama 1, Kushiro 085-8580, Japan

E-mail address: wachi@kus.hokkyodai.ac.jp